Geography: City of Seattle Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023 Release Date: 05/15/2023 Percentages

¾ in Seattle Support Transportation Impact Fees on New Real Estate Development in City, Say More Should be Done to Fix Worst Bridges Now; Fixing Roads and Bridges, Supporting Buses and Other Public Transit Seen as Most Important Transportation Investments for Seattle to Make:

SurveyUSA interviewed 1,000 Seattle adults on the city's transportation infrastructure and other issues. Key findings follow:

Overall, a plurality of residents, 47%, say things in Seattle are headed in the right direction; 37% say things are off on the wrong track. Latinos (61%), parents of children under age 18 (60%), Democrats (57%), and men (55%) are more likely than others to say things are off on the wrong track.

Respondents were next asked to select up to three transportation investments they thought were most important for Seattle to make. Percentage selecting each of the six offered choices, from most-selected to least: 66%: Fixing potholes and repaving roads for all vehicles. Selected most in South Seattle (78%) and by older respondents (71% of 50 to 64 year-olds, 74% of those 65+). 64%: Supporting buses and other public transit. Selected by 95% of those who commute to work via light rail (caution, small sample size), 89% of those who walk to work, and 85% of of those who carpool (also a small sample size). 52%: Fixing Seattle's older bridges. More important to older respondents than younger respondents; chosen by 64% of those 65+, compared to 44% of 18 to 34 year-olds. 30%: Installing new sidewalks and crosswalks. 40% among those who walk to work, 39% among those who bike (small population); 38% among parents. 27%: Building bike lanes for safer streets. 61% among those who bike to work, unsurprisingly; significantly more popular among younger respondents (36% of 18 to 34 year-olds) than older (15% of those 50+). 17%: Prioritizing freight to transport food, household products, and other items. Significantly higher among the city's small number of Republican voters, 32% of whom select this item, than others. 6% say something else should be a top-priority; 1% say none of the above items should be priorities. 73% say the Mayor and the Seattle Department of Transportation should do more to fix Seattle's worst bridges this year; just 21% say they should wait until they have additional data on all bridges before doing so. Strong majorities of 61% of all demographic subgroups, excepting the 3% of Seattle adults who bicycle to work, say the city should do more to fix the bridges this year.

75% say they would support the city imposing transportation impact fees on new real estate development projects to help pay for the city's growing needs for transportation infrastructure, with 42% strongly supporting and 33% somewhat supporting the idea. 18% are opposed (8% somewhat oppose, 10% strongly oppose). Opposition is somewhat stronger among those with the lowest household incomes (25% of whom oppose), those over age 65 (23%), and among Latinos, among Asians, and in both South and West Seattle, where 22% each are opposed.

After learning that some opponents of transportation impact fees say they would increase the cost of housing during a housing shortage, 55% continue to support (28% strongly, 27% somewhat), while opposition doubles to 36% (18% somewhat oppose, 18% strongly oppose.) The margin of support shrinks from 57 percentage points originally to 19 percentage points now, with the deepest cuts in support appearing among these populations: A 61-point fall among those with household incomes between \$30k and \$60k, from a 55-point margin of support to a 6-point margin of opposition. A 56-point fall among Republicans, from +55 to -1. A 50-point fall among those with incomes from \$60k to \$80k, from +67 to +17. A 48-point fall among Latinos, from +45 to -3. A 46-point fall among unemployed respondents, from +41 to -5. 45-point falls among both women (+56 to +11) and 18 to 34 year-olds (+58 to +13). After learning that some supports of transportation impact fees say that without the fees, policy makers will likely increase property taxes and sales taxes to generate the same amount of revenue, 67% are in support, 27% in opposition & a 40-point margin of support, numbers closer to where they began, and opposition halfway between where it originally was and where it lies after respondents hear the reasoning of those opposed.

Respondents are luke-warm on a proposal to allow real estate developers to build on 85% of their property, even if that may result in removal and eventual replacement of mature trees. 48% say the city's new proposal to protect trees should not allow this; 38% say it should. Support here is highest among parents (54%), in West Seattle (53%), among those who drive to work (48%), men (47%), and those with higher incomes. Opposition is highest among those who walk to work (79%), seniors (65%), those who are retired (62%), and among those of Latino or multiracial (each 60%), Asian (57%), or other (62%) heritage.

68% say the organizations that receive City tax dollars to provide low-income housing should be required to fill some of their available vacant units with people experiencing homelessness; 22% say they should not be required to do so. Majorities of nearly all groups, with the exception of the small number of light rail commuters, say the organizations should be required to fill some of their units with people experiencing homelessness.

59% of Seattle adults say Mayor Bruce Harrell should support City Attorney Ann Davison's proposal to make sure public drug use is against the law in Seattle; 28% say he should oppose that proposal. Opposition is highest, at 51% and 44% respectively, among the small numbers who bike or take light rail to work; at 42% among those who do not work outside their homes, at 35% among students, and at 34% among each of Democrats, 18 to 34 year-olds, and those who rent their homes. Support is as high as 78% among Republicans, 72% among parents and those who are retired, 71% in West Seattle, and 70% among seniors.

About the Research: SurveyUSA interviewed 1,000 adults from the city of Seattle 05/05/23 through 05/13/23. This research was conducted using blended sample, mixed mode. 50% of adults were online panelists chosen randomly by Lucid Holdings LLC of New Orleans, who were shown the survey questions on the display of their smartphone, laptop, or tablet. 50% of adults were interviewed by telephone by live operators, who dialed the respondents' numbers, secured their cooperation, and remained on the line to conduct the entire interview, using telephone sample of adults purchased from Aristotle in Washington DC. The pool of adult respondents was weighted to US Census targets for gender, age, race, and home ownership.

In general, do you think things in Seattle are headed in the right direction? Or are things off on the wrong track?

1000 Adults	All	Ger	nder		A	ge		<50 /	50+			Ra	ace			Regis	stered	Pa	rty Affiliat	ion	Par	ent			Income		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Right Direction	47%	55%	38%	51%	49%	37%	42%	50%	39%	49%	45%	61%	39%	44%	25%	48%	31%	40%	57%	36%	60%	42%	37%	37%	51%	52%	51%
Wrong Track	37%	32%	42%	33%	37%	44%	38%	35%	42%	35%	39%	31%	39%	41%	55%	35%	52%	53%	29%	48%	28%	40%	36%	47%	39%	29%	36%
Not Sure	16%	13%	20%	16%	14%	19%	20%	15%	20%	16%	16%	8%	22%	15%	19%	16%	18%	7%	15%	16%	12%	18%	27%	16%	11%	18%	13%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

© 2023 SurveyUSA - www.surveyusa.com

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

In general, do you think things in Seattle are headed in the right direction? Or are things off on the wrong track?

1000 Adults	A 11		Emple	oyment S	Status		Work O	utside H			Com	mute				Neighb	orhood		Hoi	me	Type Of	Intervie	
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet	
Right Direction	47%	51%	47%	36%	36%	50%	52%	45%	55%	70%	42%	40%	45%	45%	45%	52%	40%	49%	55%	39%	45%	48%	
Wrong Track	37%	36%	31%	42%	44%	32%	34%	36%	33%	30%	39%	48%	48%	28%	38%	34%	37%	39%	31%	42%	40%	33%	
Not Sure	16%	14%	21%	22%	20%	19%	14%	19%	12%	0%	20%	12%	7%	27%	17%	14%	23%	12%	14%	19%	14%	19%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%	

Thinking now about transportation in Seattle. Of the following, which three transportation investments do you think are the most important for Seattle to make?

1000 Adults	All	Ger	nder		A	ge		<50 /	50+			Ra	ice			Regis	stered	Pa	rty Affiliat	ion	Par	ent			Income		
Credibility Interval: +/-2.9 pct points	All	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Yes	17%	19%	15%	19%	15%	15%	17%	17%	16%	18%	19%	19%	13%	15%	12%	16%	25%	32%	15%	18%	20%	15%	21%	17%	18%	16%	15%
No	83%	81%	85%	81%	85%	85%	83%	83%	84%	82%	81%	81%	87%	85%	88%	84%	75%	68%	85%	82%	80%	85%	79%	83%	82%	84%	85%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

Thinking now about transportation in Seattle. Of the following, which three transportation investments do you think are the most important for Seattle to make?

1000 Adults	All		Emplo	oyment S	Status		Work O	utside H			Com	mute				Neighb	orhood		Ho	me	Type Of	Intervie
Credibility Interval: +/-2.9 pct points	All	Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
Yes	17%	13%	27%	21%	19%	20%	16%	18%	18%	0%	14%	19%	8%	5%	18%	15%	18%	17%	17%	17%	13%	21%
No	83%	87%	73%	79%	81%	80%	84%	82%	82%	100%	86%	81%	92%	95%	82%	85%	82%	83%	83%	83%	87%	79%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%

3 Building bike lanes for safer streets?

1000 Adults	A11	Ge	nder		A	ge		<50 /	50+			Ra	се			Regis	stered	Pai	ty Affiliat	ion	Par	ent			Income			I
Credibility Interval: +/-3.4 pct points	All	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120	1
Yes	27%	28%	27%	36%	30%	15%	15%	34%	15%	28%	28%	32%	24%	33%	12%	27%	34%	26%	30%	27%	38%	24%	21%	30%	27%	31%	27%	1
No	73%	72%	73%	64%	70%	85%	85%	66%	85%	72%	72%	68%	76%	67%	88%	73%	66%	74%	70%	73%	62%	76%	79%	70%	73%	69%	73%	1
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	1
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%	1

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

SURVEY USA[®] Sponsor: Alex Pedersen, Seattle City Council ()

3 Building bike lanes for safer streets?

1000 Adults	All		Emplo	oyment S	Status		Work O	utside H			Com	mute				Neighb	orhood		Но	me	Type Of	Intervie	
Credibility Interval: +/-3.4 pct points		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet	
Yes	27%	32%	27%	26%	10%	31%	31%	31%	30%	26%	36%	15%	61%	24%	23%	35%	26%	27%	27%	28%	23%	32%	
No	73%	68%	73%	74%	90%	69%	69%	69%	70%	74%	64%	85%	39%	76%	77%	65%	74%	73%	73%	72%	77%	68%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%	

Installing new sidewalks and cros	swalks?																										
1000 Adults	A 11	Ger	nder		A	ge		<50 /	50+			Ra	ace			Regi	stered	Pa	arty Affilia	tion	Pa	rent			Income	9	
Credibility Interval: +/-3.5 pct points	All	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republ	i Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Yes	30%	29%	32%	31%	36%	26%	26%	33%	26%	29%	33%	36%	34%	28%	31%	30%	31%	34%	32%	27%	38%	27%	27%	28%	26%	32%	35%
No	70%	71%	68%	69%	64%	74%	74%	67%	74%	71%	67%	64%	66%	72%	69%	70%	69%	66%	68%	73%	62%	73%	73%	72%	74%	68%	65%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

1. Installing new sidewalks and crosswalks	s?
--	----

1000 Adults	All		Emplo	oyment S	Status		Work O	utside H			Com	mute				Neighb	orhood		Ho	me	Type Of	Intervie
Credibility Interval: +/-3.5 pct points	All	Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
Yes	30%	33%	25%	32%	24%	26%	31%	33%	32%	21%	25%	19%	39%	40%	30%	30%	28%	34%	33%	28%	26%	35%
No	70%	67%	75%	68%	76%	74%	69%	67%	68%	79%	75%	81%	61%	60%	70%	70%	72%	66%	67%	72%	74%	65%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%

5 Supporting buses and other public transit?

1000 Adults	A II	Ge	nder		A	ge		<50 /	50+			Ra	ice			Regis	stered	Pa	rty Affiliat	ion	Par	ent			Income		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.7 pct points	All	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Yes	64%	60%	68%	69%	63%	61%	55%	66%	59%	66%	53%	57%	64%	73%	48%	66%	47%	49%	69%	63%	55%	68%	60%	63%	66%	67%	63%
No	36%	40%	32%	31%	37%	39%	45%	34%	41%	34%	47%	43%	36%	27%	52%	34%	53%	51%	31%	37%	45%	32%	40%	37%	34%	33%	37%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

5 Supporting buses and other public transit?

1000 Adults	All		Emple	oyment S	tatus		Work O	utside H			Com	mute				Neighb	orhood		Но	me	Type Of	Intervie
Credibility Interval: +/-3.7 pct points		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
Yes	64%	68%	55%	61%	54%	69%	65%	73%	57%	85%	74%	95%	67%	89%	69%	69%	52%	56%	57%	69%	62%	65%
No	36%	32%	45%	39%	46%	31%	35%	27%	43%	15%	26%	5%	33%	11%	31%	31%	48%	44%	43%	31%	38%	35%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%
-																						

6 Fixing potholes and repaving roads for all vehicles?

1000 Adults		Ger	nder		A	ge		<50 /	/ 50+			Ra	ice			Regis	stered	Pa	rty Affiliat	tion	Pai	rent			Income		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.6 pct points	All	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Yes	66%	67%	66%	66%	59%	71%	74%	63%	72%	66%	54%	60%	73%	72%	72%	67%	66%	61%	63%	70%	58%	70%	71%	73%	64%	65%	61%
No	34%	33%	34%	34%	41%	29%	26%	37%	28%	34%	46%	40%	27%	28%	28%	33%	34%	39%	37%	30%	42%	30%	29%	27%	36%	35%	39%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

6 Fixing potholes and repaving roads for all vehicles?

1000 Adults	All		Emple	oyment S	Status		Work O	utside H			Com	mute				Neighb	orhood		Hoi	me	Type Of	Intervie
Credibility Interval: +/-3.6 pct points		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
Yes	66%	64%	66%	63%	71%	79%	65%	63%	68%	40%	61%	65%	48%	69%	64%	61%	78%	67%	64%	68%	68%	64%
No	34%	36%	34%	37%	29%	21%	35%	37%	32%	60%	39%	35%	52%	31%	36%	39%	22%	33%	36%	32%	32%	36%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%

Fixing Seattle's older bridges?

1000 Adults	A II	Ger	nder		A	ge		<50 /	/ 50+			Ra	ace			Regis	stered	Pai	ty Affiliat	tion	Par	rent			Income		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Yes	52%	54%	50%	44%	52%	60%	64%	47%	61%	55%	50%	51%	45%	46%	42%	54%	36%	44%	57%	49%	53%	52%	50%	44%	49%	57%	55%
No	48%	46%	50%	56%	48%	40%	36%	53%	39%	45%	50%	49%	55%	54%	58%	46%	64%	56%	43%	51%	47%	48%	50%	56%	51%	43%	45%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

SURVEY USA Sponsor: Alex Pedersen, Seattle City Council ()

Fixing Seattle's older bridges?

1000 Adults	All		Emplo	oyment S	Status		Work O	utside H			Com	mute				Neighb	orhood		Hoi	me	Type Of	Intervie	
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet	
Yes	52%	53%	38%	55%	63%	33%	50%	54%	51%	66%	47%	51%	42%	45%	52%	53%	47%	56%	58%	47%	56%	48%	
No	48%	47%	62%	45%	37%	67%	50%	46%	49%	34%	53%	49%	58%	55%	48%	47%	53%	44%	42%	53%	44%	52%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%	

8 Something else?																											
1000 Adults	A11	Ge	nder		A	ge		<50	/ 50+			R	ace			Regi	stered	Pa	rty Affilia	tion	Pa	rent			Income		
Credibility Interval: +/-1.8 pct points	All	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Yes	6%	5%	6%	3%	6%	11%	5%	4%	8%	5%	9%	0%	6%	8%	11%	5%	9%	9%	5%	7%	3%	7%	6%	4%	7%	4%	7%
No	94%	95%	94%	97%	94%	89%	95%	96%	92%	95%	91%	100%	94%	92%	89%	95%	91%	91%	95%	93%	97%	93%	94%	96%	93%	96%	93%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%
							-																				-

8 Something else?

1000 Adults	All		Emplo	oyment S	Status		Work O	utside H			Com	mute				Neighb	orhood		Ho	me	Type Of	Intervie	
Credibility Interval: +/-1.8 pct points	All	Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet	
Yes	6%	5%	9%	4%	7%	0%	6%	4%	7%	6%	7%	0%	3%	3%	5%	8%	5%	5%	6%	5%	7%	4%	
No	94%	95%	91%	96%	93%	100%	94%	96%	93%	94%	93%	100%	97%	97%	95%	92%	95%	95%	94%	95%	93%	96%	
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%	

9 None of the above?

1000 Adults		Gei	nder		A	ge		<50 /	/ 50+			Ra	ice			Regis	stered	Par	ty Affiliat	ion	Pa	rent			Income		
Credibility Interval: +/7 pct points	All	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Yes	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	4%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%
No	99%	100%	99%	99%	100%	98%	100%	100%	99%	99%	96%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	98%	99%	100%	99%	99%	99%	98%	99%	100%	100%	99%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

9	None of the above?	
---	--------------------	--

1000 Adults	All		Emplo	oyment S	Status		Work O	utside H			Com	mute				Neighb	orhood		Hoi	me	Type Of	Intervie	
Credibility Interval: +/7 pct points		Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet	
Yes	1%	1%	0%	4%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	
No	99%	99%	100%	96%	99%	100%	99%	100%	99%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	99%	100%	99%	99%	99%	99%	100%	99%	
Fotal	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%	

10 After the West Seattle Bridge cracked and closed, the city ordered an audit of all Seattle bridges, which found several bridges in poor condition. Do you think the Mayor and the Seattle Department of Transportation should do more to fix Seattle's worst bridges this year or should they wait until they have additional data on all bridges?

1000 Adults	All	Ger	nder		A	ge		<50 /	50+			Ra	се			Regis	tered	Pai	ty Affiliat	ion	Par	ent			Income		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.4 pct points	All	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Do More To Fix	73%	73%	72%	69%	76%	79%	72%	71%	76%	73%	72%	77%	66%	80%	74%	72%	81%	73%	75%	71%	74%	72%	72%	75%	72%	71%	74%
Wait For Additional Data	21%	21%	20%	25%	18%	16%	20%	22%	18%	20%	25%	21%	29%	9%	22%	22%	11%	21%	19%	23%	23%	20%	21%	21%	21%	23%	19%
Not Sure	6%	6%	7%	7%	6%	5%	9%	6%	7%	7%	3%	2%	5%	12%	5%	6%	8%	6%	6%	6%	2%	8%	7%	4%	8%	7%	6%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

0 After the West Seattle Bridge cracked and closed, the city ordered an audit of all Seattle bridges, which found several bridges in poor condition. Do you think the Mayor and the Seattle Department of Transportation should do more to fix Seattle's worst bridges this year or should they wait until they have additional data on all bridges?

1000 Adults	All		Empl	oyment S	Status		Work O	utside H			Com	mute				Neighb	orhood		Ho	me	Type Of	Intervie
Credibility Interval: +/-3.4 pct points	All	Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
Do More To Fix	73%	73%	76%	65%	76%	68%	73%	79%	74%	61%	73%	77%	45%	71%	68%	76%	74%	77%	75%	71%	67%	79%
Wait For Additional Data	21%	21%	23%	25%	15%	23%	22%	16%	21%	39%	22%	16%	31%	27%	23%	17%	22%	19%	19%	23%	25%	16%
Not Sure	6%	6%	1%	10%	9%	9%	5%	5%	5%	0%	5%	6%	24%	2%	8%	7%	4%	5%	7%	6%	8%	5%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%

Seattle may consider imposing fees on new real estate development projects to help pay for the city's growing needs for transportation infrastructure. (For example, a real estate developer may have to pay \$8,000 to the City government on a condominium project the developer hopes to sell for \$800,000.)

<br

Would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments to help pay for transportation infrastructure?

1000 Adults	A II	Gei	nder		Ag	ge		<50 /	50+			Ra	ace			Regis	stered	Pa	rty Affiliati	on	Par	ent			Income		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Strongly Support	42%	43%	41%	36%	50%	47%	41%	41%	44%	45%	50%	32%	33%	38%	38%	44%	30%	30%	49%	39%	41%	43%	34%	33%	51%	44%	46%
Somewhat Support	33%	34%	31%	38%	31%	28%	28%	35%	28%	33%	29%	35%	34%	35%	19%	33%	32%	45%	34%	28%	38%	30%	31%	38%	30%	33%	32%
Somewhat Oppose	8%	7%	8%	9%	6%	8%	7%	8%	7%	6%	6%	7%	14%	13%	7%	8%	10%	7%	6%	7%	5%	9%	11%	6%	6%	9%	8%
Strongly Oppose	10%	12%	8%	7%	10%	12%	16%	8%	14%	10%	11%	15%	8%	4%	27%	10%	12%	13%	6%	15%	14%	9%	14%	10%	8%	8%	12%
Not Sure	7%	3%	11%	10%	4%	5%	8%	7%	7%	6%	3%	11%	11%	9%	8%	6%	16%	5%	5%	11%	3%	9%	11%	13%	5%	6%	3%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

Seattle may consider imposing fees on new real estate development projects to help pay for the city's growing needs for transportation infrastructure. (For example, a real estate developer may have to pay \$8,000 to the City government on a condominium project the developer hopes to sell for \$800,000.)

1

Would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments to help pay for transportation infrastructure?

1000 Adults	All		Employment Status Wo								Com	mute				Neighb	orhood		Но	me	Type Of	f Intervie
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
Strongly Support	42%	49%	37%	26%	40%	15%	47%	48%	45%	61%	52%	71%	44%	43%	42%	45%	39%	41%	45%	40%	46%	39%
Somewhat Support	33%	31%	40%	35%	31%	28%	33%	35%	33%	5%	36%	29%	37%	32%	30%	37%	34%	31%	34%	31%	27%	38%
Somewhat Oppose	8%	7%	9%	10%	6%	20%	7%	7%	6%	22%	1%	0%	16%	10%	8%	7%	9%	7%	5%	10%	7%	9%
Strongly Oppose	10%	9%	12%	10%	14%	11%	11%	5%	13%	12%	5%	0%	0%	9%	11%	5%	13%	15%	11%	10%	14%	7%
Not Sure	7%	4%	1%	19%	9%	26%	3%	6%	2%	0%	6%	0%	3%	6%	9%	7%	4%	6%	5%	9%	6%	8%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%

2 Some opponents of transportation	on impact	t fees say	y they wo	uld incre	ase the c	cost of ho	using du	ring a ho	using sho	ortage. B	ased on	this, wou	ld you su	pport or	oppose t	hese trar	Isportatio	n impact	fees on	new real	estate de	evelopme	ents?				
1000 Adults		Ge	nder		A	ge		<50 /	50+			Ra	ace			Regis	stered	Pa	rty Affilia	tion	Par	ent			Income	•	
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points		Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Strongly Support	28%	32%	24%	20%	34%	35%	33%	26%	34%	31%	30%	25%	19%	27%	23%	30%	18%	17%	34%	25%	29%	28%	22%	20%	28%	33%	34%
Somewhat Support	27%	29%	25%	33%	28%	21%	19%	31%	20%	28%	28%	19%	30%	31%	14%	28%	27%	32%	31%	23%	35%	24%	23%	22%	27%	31%	31%
Somewhat Oppose	18%	14%	21%	23%	13%	12%	17%	19%	14%	16%	14%	16%	29%	11%	14%	17%	25%	31%	16%	16%	14%	19%	23%	27%	14%	13%	14%
Strongly Oppose	18%	19%	17%	17%	17%	21%	20%	17%	20%	17%	20%	31%	13%	12%	31%	18%	17%	19%	12%	28%	16%	19%	16%	21%	24%	16%	15%
Not Sure	9%	5%	12%	7%	8%	11%	11%	8%	11%	7%	8%	9%	9%	20%	17%	8%	14%	2%	7%	8%	6%	10%	16%	10%	7%	6%	7%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

on impac	fees say	y they wo	ould incre	ease the c	cost of ho	ousing du	ring a ho	using sh	ortage. B	ased on	this, wou	ld you su	pport or	oppose t	hese trar	nsportatio	on impact	fees on I	new real	estate de	evelopme
		Emp	loyment \$	Status		Work O	utside H			Corr	mute				Neight	oorhood		Но	me	Type Of	Intervie
	Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
28%	32%	21%	20%	33%	6%	29%	37%	29%	9%	27%	63%	16%	32%	29%	25%	27%	34%	35%	23%	37%	20%
27%	30%	34%	18%	19%	26%	32%	27%	30%	58%	40%	21%	44%	24%	21%	36%	27%	28%	29%	26%	23%	32%
18%	15%	22%	24%	13%	34%	16%	16%	16%	22%	9%	7%	28%	18%	18%	17%	20%	13%	12%	22%	12%	23%
18%	17%	15%	19%	24%	22%	17%	15%	19%	12%	12%	0%	3%	18%	22%	13%	15%	20%	16%	20%	20%	16%
9%	6%	9%	20%	10%	11%	7%	4%	7%	0%	11%	8%	10%	8%	9%	8%	11%	5%	7%	10%	9%	9%
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%
	All 28% 27% 18% 18% 9% 100%	All Full-tim 28% 32% 27% 30% 18% 15% 18% 17% 9% 6% 100% 100%	Emp Full-tim Part-tim 28% 32% 21% 27% 30% 34% 18% 15% 22% 18% 17% 15% 9% 6% 9% 100% 100% 100%	Full-tim Part-tim Not Em 28% 32% 21% 20% 27% 30% 34% 18% 18% 15% 22% 24% 18% 17% 15% 19% 9% 6% 9% 20% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Employment Status Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 18% 17% 15% 19% 24% 9% 6% 9% 20% 10% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Employment Status Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 18% 17% 15% 19% 24% 22% 9% 6% 9% 20% 10% 11% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Image: All state of the state of t	All Employment Status Work Outside H Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 18% 17% 15% 19% 24% 22% 17% 15% 9% 6% 9% 20% 10% 11% 7% 4% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Employment Status Work Outside H Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 30% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 18% 17% 15% 19% 24% 22% 17% 15% 19% 9% 6% 9% 20% 10% 11% 7% 4% 7% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Employment Status Work Outside H Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 30% 58% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 22% 18% 17% 15% 19% 24% 22% 17% 15% 19% 12% 9% 6% 9% 20% 10% 11% 7% 4% 7% 0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Employment Status Work Outside H Corr Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 30% 58% 40% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 16% 22% 9% 18% 17% 15% 19% 24% 22% 17% 15% 19% 12% 12% 9% 6% 9% 20% 10% 11% 7% 4% 7% 0% 11% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Employment Status Work Outside H Commute Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 63% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 30% 58% 40% 21% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 18% 17% 15% 19% 24% 22% 17% 15% 19% 12% 0% 9% 6% 9% 20% 10% 11% 7% 4% 7% 0% 11% 8% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% </td <td>All Employment Status Work Outside H Commute 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 63% 16% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 30% 58% 40% 21% 44% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 18% 17% 15% 19% 24% 22% 17% 15% 19% 12% 0% 3% 9% 6% 9% 20% 10% 11% 7% 4% 7% 0% 11% 10% 10% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%</td> <td>All Employment Status Work Outside H Commute 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 63% 16% 32% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 30% 58% 40% 21% 44% 24% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 18% 18% 18% 16% 16% 16% 16% 21% 44% 24% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 18% 18% 17% 15% 19% 24% 22% 17% 15% 19% 12% 12% 0% 3% 18% 9% 6% 9% 20% 10% 10% 7% 0%</td> <td>All Employment Status Work Outside H Commute Commute Walk North S 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 30% 58% 40% 21% 44% 24% 21% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 38% 18% 18% 18% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 18% 18% 18% 15% 19% 24% 22% 17% 15% 19% 12% 0% 3% 8% 9% 9% 6% 9% 20% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%</td> <td>All Employment Status Work Outside H Commute Neight 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 25% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 30% 58% 40% 21% 44% 24% 21% 36% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 18% 18% 17% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 18% 18% 17% 18% 17% 15% 19% 24% 22% 17% 15% 19% 12% 0% 3% 8% 2% 13% 9% 6% 9% 20% 10% 15% 19%</td> <td>All Employment Status Work Outside H Commute Notest Notest</td> <td>All Employment Status Work Outside H Comment Comment Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 25% 27% 34% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 30% 44% 24% 21% 36% 27% 28% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 18% 18% 17% 20% 13% 18% 15% 22% 17% 15% 19% 12% 12% 0% 3% 18% 18% 17% 20% 13% 18% 17% 16% 16% 16% 12% 12% 0% 3% 18% 18% 18% 15% 20%</td> <td>All Employment Status Work Outside H Commute Neight R North S Central South S West S Own 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 25% 27% 34% 35% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 25% 27% 34% 35% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 30% 58% 40% 21% 44% 24% 21% 36% 27% 28% 29% 28% 29% 28% 29% 28% 18% 18% 17% 20% 13% 12% 12% 16% 18% 21% 38% 18% 18% 17% 20% 16% 16% 12% 12% 18% 18% 18% 18%</td> <td>All Employment Status Work Outside H Comment Comment Support South S West S Own Retired 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 25% 27% 34% 35% 23% 27% 30% 34% 19% 26% 32% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 27% 34% 35% 23% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 25% 27% 34% 35% 23% 27% 30% 34% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 44% 24% 21% 36% 27% 28% 29% 26% 26% 18% 17% 15% 19% 16% 16% 12% 12% 0% 3% 18%</td> <td>All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S Own Retired Live Ca 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 25% 27% 34% 35% 23% 37% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 63% 16% 24% 21% 36% 27% 28% 23% 23% 23% 37% 27% 30% 34% 16% 16% 26% 21% 44% 24% 21% 36% 27% 28% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 2</td>	All Employment Status Work Outside H Commute 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 63% 16% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 30% 58% 40% 21% 44% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 18% 17% 15% 19% 24% 22% 17% 15% 19% 12% 0% 3% 9% 6% 9% 20% 10% 11% 7% 4% 7% 0% 11% 10% 10% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Employment Status Work Outside H Commute 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 63% 16% 32% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 30% 58% 40% 21% 44% 24% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 18% 18% 18% 16% 16% 16% 16% 21% 44% 24% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 18% 18% 17% 15% 19% 24% 22% 17% 15% 19% 12% 12% 0% 3% 18% 9% 6% 9% 20% 10% 10% 7% 0%	All Employment Status Work Outside H Commute Commute Walk North S 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 30% 58% 40% 21% 44% 24% 21% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 38% 18% 18% 18% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 18% 18% 18% 15% 19% 24% 22% 17% 15% 19% 12% 0% 3% 8% 9% 9% 6% 9% 20% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%	All Employment Status Work Outside H Commute Neight 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 25% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 30% 58% 40% 21% 44% 24% 21% 36% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 18% 18% 17% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 18% 18% 17% 18% 17% 15% 19% 24% 22% 17% 15% 19% 12% 0% 3% 8% 2% 13% 9% 6% 9% 20% 10% 15% 19%	All Employment Status Work Outside H Commute Notest Notest	All Employment Status Work Outside H Comment Comment Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 25% 27% 34% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 30% 44% 24% 21% 36% 27% 28% 18% 15% 22% 24% 13% 34% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 28% 18% 18% 17% 20% 13% 18% 15% 22% 17% 15% 19% 12% 12% 0% 3% 18% 18% 17% 20% 13% 18% 17% 16% 16% 16% 12% 12% 0% 3% 18% 18% 18% 15% 20%	All Employment Status Work Outside H Commute Neight R North S Central South S West S Own 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 25% 27% 34% 35% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 25% 27% 34% 35% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 30% 58% 40% 21% 44% 24% 21% 36% 27% 28% 29% 28% 29% 28% 29% 28% 18% 18% 17% 20% 13% 12% 12% 16% 18% 21% 38% 18% 18% 17% 20% 16% 16% 12% 12% 18% 18% 18% 18%	All Employment Status Work Outside H Comment Comment Support South S West S Own Retired 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 25% 27% 34% 35% 23% 27% 30% 34% 19% 26% 32% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 27% 34% 35% 23% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 25% 27% 34% 35% 23% 27% 30% 34% 16% 16% 22% 9% 7% 44% 24% 21% 36% 27% 28% 29% 26% 26% 18% 17% 15% 19% 16% 16% 12% 12% 0% 3% 18%	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S Own Retired Live Ca 28% 32% 21% 20% 33% 6% 29% 37% 29% 9% 27% 63% 16% 32% 29% 25% 27% 34% 35% 23% 37% 27% 30% 34% 18% 19% 26% 32% 27% 63% 16% 24% 21% 36% 27% 28% 23% 23% 23% 37% 27% 30% 34% 16% 16% 26% 21% 44% 24% 21% 36% 27% 28% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 23% 2

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

13 Some supporters of transportation impact fees say that without the fees, policy makers will likely increase property taxes and sales taxes to generate the same amount of revenue. Based on this, would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments?

1000 Adults	A 11	Gei	nder		Ag	ge		<50 /	/ 50+			Ra	ce			Regis	stered	Pa	rty Affilia	tion	Par	rent			Income		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Strongly Support	34%	36%	33%	31%	39%	40%	30%	34%	36%	37%	39%	23%	32%	32%	21%	37%	19%	21%	40%	30%	30%	36%	24%	26%	41%	37%	39%
Somewhat Support	33%	34%	32%	38%	33%	26%	26%	36%	26%	35%	28%	28%	34%	34%	20%	33%	36%	40%	36%	30%	39%	31%	32%	37%	30%	29%	36%
Somewhat Oppose	12%	9%	15%	13%	12%	9%	14%	13%	11%	11%	18%	17%	16%	7%	9%	11%	16%	15%	10%	13%	12%	12%	17%	16%	7%	12%	10%
Strongly Oppose	15%	18%	13%	13%	13%	21%	21%	13%	21%	13%	14%	26%	13%	18%	40%	14%	24%	22%	9%	23%	15%	16%	17%	17%	15%	16%	13%
Not Sure	5%	4%	7%	5%	4%	5%	9%	5%	6%	5%	1%	7%	5%	9%	11%	5%	4%	3%	4%	5%	2%	6%	9%	5%	6%	5%	2%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

13 Some supporters of transportation impact fees say that without the fees, policy makers will likely increase property taxes and sales taxes to generate the same amount of revenue. Based on this, would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments?

A 11		Emple	oyment S	Status		Work O	utside H			Com	mute				Neight	orhood		Но	me	Type Of	Intervie
All	Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
34%	41%	27%	24%	30%	7%	37%	48%	35%	31%	46%	49%	24%	45%	33%	37%	32%	35%	36%	33%	38%	31%
33%	34%	33%	28%	27%	40%	35%	34%	36%	12%	33%	33%	53%	27%	30%	37%	33%	32%	35%	31%	27%	38%
12%	8%	23%	20%	11%	22%	11%	5%	12%	22%	6%	0%	16%	9%	11%	12%	15%	11%	9%	14%	10%	14%
15%	13%	15%	15%	23%	22%	13%	13%	13%	17%	12%	18%	0%	20%	19%	9%	16%	17%	16%	15%	19%	12%
5%	4%	2%	13%	8%	9%	4%	0%	4%	18%	2%	0%	7%	0%	6%	4%	4%	5%	3%	7%	5%	5%
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%
	34% 33% 12% 15% 5% 100%	Full-tim 34% 41% 33% 34% 12% 8% 15% 13% 5% 4% 100% 100%	All Full-tim Part-tim 34% 41% 27% 33% 34% 33% 12% 8% 23% 15% 13% 15% 5% 4% 2% 100% 100% 100%	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em 34% 41% 27% 24% 33% 34% 33% 28% 12% 8% 23% 20% 15% 13% 15% 15% 5% 4% 2% 13% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 15% 13% 15% 15% 23% 5% 4% 2% 13% 8% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 22% 15% 13% 15% 15% 23% 22% 5% 4% 2% 13% 8% 9% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 22% 11% 15% 13% 15% 15% 23% 22% 13% 5% 4% 2% 13% 8% 9% 4% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 22% 11% 5% 15% 13% 15% 15% 23% 22% 13% 13% 5% 4% 2% 13% 8% 9% 4% 0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 36% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 22% 11% 5% 12% 15% 13% 15% 15% 23% 22% 13% 13% 13% 5% 4% 2% 13% 8% 9% 4% 0% 4% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 36% 12% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 22% 11% 5% 12% 22% 15% 13% 15% 15% 23% 22% 13% 13% 17% 5% 4% 2% 13% 8% 9% 4% 0% 4% 18% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 36% 12% 33% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 22% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 15% 13% 15% 15% 23% 22% 13% 13% 17% 12% 5% 4% 2% 13% 8% 9% 4% 0% 4% 2% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 36% 12% 33% 33% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 22% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 15% 13% 15% 15% 23% 22% 13% 13% 17% 12% 18% 5% 4% 2% 13% 8% 9% 4% 0% 4% 0% 18% 0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 36% 12% 33% 33% 53% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 22% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 15% 13% 15% 23% 22% 13% 13% 17% 12% 18% 0% 5% 4% 2% 13% 8% 9% 4% 0% 4% 18% 2% 0% 7% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 10% 16%	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 36% 12% 33% 33% 53% 27% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 22% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 9% 15% 13% 15% 23% 22% 13% 13% 17% 12% 18% 0% 20% 5% 4% 2% 13% 3% 13% 13% 17% 12% 18% 0% 20% 5% 4% 2% 13% 8% 9% 4% 0% 4% 18% 2% <td>All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 36% 12% 33% 33% 53% 27% 30% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 22% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 9% 11% 15% 13% 15% 23% 22% 13% 13% 17% 12% 18% 0% 20% 19% 5% 4% 2% 13% 13% 18% 2% 0% 7% 0% 6% 100% 100% 100% 100% 0% 4%</td> <td>All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 36% 12% 33% 33% 53% 27% 30% 37% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 22% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 9% 11% 12% 15% 13% 15% 23% 22% 13% 13% 17% 12% 18% 0% 20% 19% 9% 15% 13% 13% 9% 4% 0% 4% 18% 2% 0% 7% 0% 6%</td> <td>All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 36% 12% 33% 33% 53% 27% 30% 37% 38% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 22% 12% 33% 33% 53% 27% 30% 37% 33% 15% 13% 11% 22% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 9% 11% 12% 15% 15% 13% 15% 23% 22% 13% 13% 17% 12% 18% <td< td=""><td>All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 9% 11% 12% 11% 15% 13% 15% 23% 22% 13% 13% 17% 12% 18% 0% 20% 19% 9% 16% 17% 15% 13% 13% 13% 13% 18% 2% <td< td=""><td>All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S Own 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 36% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 36% 12% 33% 33% 53% 27% 30% 32% 35% 35% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 9% 11% 12% 15% 11% 9% 15% 13% 15% 15% 23% 22% 13% 17% 12% 18% 0% 20% 19% 16% 17% 16% 5% 4%</td><td>All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S Own Retired 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 36% 33% 33% 33% 37% 32% 36% 33% 33% 33% 37% 32% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 36% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 12% 33% 33% 5% 27% 30% 37% 33% 32% 35% 31% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 10% 16%</td><td>All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S Own Retired Live Ca 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 36% 33% 38% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 38% 38% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 31% 46% 49% 27% 30% 37% 33% 32% 35% 31% 27% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 10% 16%</td></td<></td></td<></td>	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 36% 12% 33% 33% 53% 27% 30% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 22% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 9% 11% 15% 13% 15% 23% 22% 13% 13% 17% 12% 18% 0% 20% 19% 5% 4% 2% 13% 13% 18% 2% 0% 7% 0% 6% 100% 100% 100% 100% 0% 4%	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 36% 12% 33% 33% 53% 27% 30% 37% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 22% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 9% 11% 12% 15% 13% 15% 23% 22% 13% 13% 17% 12% 18% 0% 20% 19% 9% 15% 13% 13% 9% 4% 0% 4% 18% 2% 0% 7% 0% 6%	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 36% 12% 33% 33% 53% 27% 30% 37% 38% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 22% 12% 33% 33% 53% 27% 30% 37% 33% 15% 13% 11% 22% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 9% 11% 12% 15% 15% 13% 15% 23% 22% 13% 13% 17% 12% 18% <td< td=""><td>All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 9% 11% 12% 11% 15% 13% 15% 23% 22% 13% 13% 17% 12% 18% 0% 20% 19% 9% 16% 17% 15% 13% 13% 13% 13% 18% 2% <td< td=""><td>All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S Own 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 36% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 36% 12% 33% 33% 53% 27% 30% 32% 35% 35% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 9% 11% 12% 15% 11% 9% 15% 13% 15% 15% 23% 22% 13% 17% 12% 18% 0% 20% 19% 16% 17% 16% 5% 4%</td><td>All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S Own Retired 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 36% 33% 33% 33% 37% 32% 36% 33% 33% 33% 37% 32% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 36% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 12% 33% 33% 5% 27% 30% 37% 33% 32% 35% 31% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 10% 16%</td><td>All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S Own Retired Live Ca 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 36% 33% 38% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 38% 38% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 31% 46% 49% 27% 30% 37% 33% 32% 35% 31% 27% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 10% 16%</td></td<></td></td<>	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 9% 11% 12% 11% 15% 13% 15% 23% 22% 13% 13% 17% 12% 18% 0% 20% 19% 9% 16% 17% 15% 13% 13% 13% 13% 18% 2% <td< td=""><td>All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S Own 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 36% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 36% 12% 33% 33% 53% 27% 30% 32% 35% 35% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 9% 11% 12% 15% 11% 9% 15% 13% 15% 15% 23% 22% 13% 17% 12% 18% 0% 20% 19% 16% 17% 16% 5% 4%</td><td>All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S Own Retired 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 36% 33% 33% 33% 37% 32% 36% 33% 33% 33% 37% 32% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 36% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 12% 33% 33% 5% 27% 30% 37% 33% 32% 35% 31% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 10% 16%</td><td>All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S Own Retired Live Ca 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 36% 33% 38% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 38% 38% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 31% 46% 49% 27% 30% 37% 33% 32% 35% 31% 27% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 10% 16%</td></td<>	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S Own 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 36% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 36% 12% 33% 33% 53% 27% 30% 32% 35% 35% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 9% 11% 12% 15% 11% 9% 15% 13% 15% 15% 23% 22% 13% 17% 12% 18% 0% 20% 19% 16% 17% 16% 5% 4%	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S Own Retired 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 36% 33% 33% 33% 37% 32% 36% 33% 33% 33% 37% 32% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 36% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 34% 12% 33% 33% 5% 27% 30% 37% 33% 32% 35% 31% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 10% 16%	All Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S Own Retired Live Ca 34% 41% 27% 24% 30% 7% 37% 48% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 36% 33% 38% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 31% 46% 49% 24% 45% 33% 37% 32% 35% 38% 38% 33% 34% 33% 28% 27% 40% 35% 31% 46% 49% 27% 30% 37% 33% 32% 35% 31% 27% 12% 8% 23% 20% 11% 5% 12% 22% 6% 0% 16% 10% 16%

| Should the City of Seattle's new proposal to protect trees allow real estate developers to build on 85% of the property, even if that may result in removal and eventual replacement of mature trees? | | | | | | | |

 |
 |

 | |
 |
 | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 |
|---|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--
--
--

--
--
--
---|---
--

--
--|---|--|--
--	--	---------------------------------	---	---
Adults All Gender Age Sector Registered Party Affiliation Parent Income Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No Republi Democr Indepe Yes No <\$30K \$30K - \$80K - \$\$120				

 |
 |

 | |
 |
 | | |
 |
 | | | | | | | | |
 |
| | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-49 | 50-64 | 65+ | 18-49 | 50+

 | White
 | Black

 | Hispani | Asian
 | Multirac
 | Other | Yes | No
 | Republi
 | Democr | Indepe | Yes | No | < \$30K | \$30K - | \$60K - | \$80K - | > \$120
 |
| 38% | 47% | 29% | 40% | 44% | 33% | 28% | 42% | 31%

 | 43%
 | 39%

 | 34% | 28%
 | 32%
 | 21% | 39% | 28%
 | 39%
 | 40% | 37% | 54% | 31% | 33% | 28% | 30% | 47% | 46%
 |
| 48% | 43% | 54% | 42% | 46% | 53% | 65% | 43% | 58%

 | 43%
 | 50%

 | 60% | 57%
 | 60%
 | 62% | 48% | 50%
 | 47%
 | 48% | 50% | 37% | 54% | 50% | 61% | 58% | 41% | 40%
 |
| 14% | 10% | 18% | 18% | 10% | 14% | 7% | 15% | 11%

 | 15%
 | 10%

 | 5% | 15%
 | 8%
 | 17% | 13% | 22%
 | 14%
 | 12% | 13% | 8% | 15% | 18% | 11% | 12% | 12% | 15%
 |
| 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100%

 | 100%
 | 100%

 | 100% | 100%
 | 100%
 | 100% | 100% | 100%
 | 100%
 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100%
 |
| 100% | 51% | 49% | 41% | 26% | 19% | 14% | 67% | 33%

 | 62%
 | 7%

 | 7% | 13%
 | 6%
 | 4% | 89% | 9%
 | 7%
 | 57% | 27% | 29% | 68% | 15% | 18% | 17% | 22% | 27%
 |
| | All
38%
48%
14%
100% | All Ge
Male
38% 47%
48% 43%
14% 10%
100% 100% | Gender Male Female 38% 47% 29% 48% 43% 54% 14% 10% 18% 100% 100% 100% | Gender Male Female 18-34 38% 47% 29% 40% 48% 43% 54% 42% 14% 10% 18% 18% 100% 100% 100% 100% | Gender Ag Male Female 18-34 35-49 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 48% 43% 54% 42% 46% 14% 10% 18% 18% 10% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% | Gerter Age Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 48% 43% 54% 42% 46% 53% 14% 10% 18% 18% 10% 14% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% | Gerter Agent terms and | Gender Agender Solution Solution <t< td=""><td>Gender Age <!--</td--><td>Gender Age Solution Solution<!--</td--><td>Gender Age Solution So</td><td>Gender Age Solution Solution Solution Solution Rate All Gender 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 48% 43% 54% 42% 46% 53% 65% 43% 58% 43% 50% 60% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 100%<td>Gender Age Solution Solution<!--</td--><td>Gender Age Solution So</td><td>All Gender Age Sol / 50+ Race All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 28% 32% 21% 48% 43% 54% 42% 46% 53% 65% 43% 50% 60% 57% 60% 62% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 15% 8% 17% 100%</td><td>All Gender Age Age Solution Solution<td>All Gender Age Age Solution Solution<td>All Gender All Solution Solution Solution Solution Registered Pair All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No Republic 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 28% 32% 21% 39% 28% 39% 44% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 50% 60% 57% 60% 62% 48% 50% 47% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 15% 8% 17% 13% 22% 14% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%<!--</td--><td>All Gerur X-X-Y</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>All Geres Sevent Sevent</td><td>All Geres X-X Solution Solution</td></td></td></td></td></td></td></td></t<> <td>All Gerler $\overline{S-V}$ $S-$</td> <td>All Geres X-X-X-X-X Registered Party Affiliation Party Affili</td> <td>All Gere X-X-Y X-Y X-Y Report Normal Norma</td> | Gender Age </td <td>Gender Age Solution Solution<!--</td--><td>Gender Age Solution So</td><td>Gender Age Solution Solution Solution Solution Rate All Gender 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 48% 43% 54% 42% 46% 53% 65% 43% 58% 43% 50% 60% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 100%<td>Gender Age Solution Solution<!--</td--><td>Gender Age Solution So</td><td>All Gender Age Sol / 50+ Race All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 28% 32% 21% 48% 43% 54% 42% 46% 53% 65% 43% 50% 60% 57% 60% 62% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 15% 8% 17% 100%</td><td>All Gender Age Age Solution Solution<td>All Gender Age Age Solution Solution<td>All Gender All Solution Solution Solution Solution Registered Pair All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No Republic 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 28% 32% 21% 39% 28% 39% 44% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 50% 60% 57% 60% 62% 48% 50% 47% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 15% 8% 17% 13% 22% 14% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%<!--</td--><td>All Gerur X-X-Y</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>All Geres Sevent Sevent</td><td>All Geres X-X Solution Solution</td></td></td></td></td></td></td> | Gender Age Solution Solution </td <td>Gender Age Solution So</td> <td>Gender Age Solution Solution Solution Solution Rate All Gender 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 48% 43% 54% 42% 46% 53% 65% 43% 58% 43% 50% 60% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 100%<td>Gender Age Solution Solution<!--</td--><td>Gender Age Solution So</td><td>All Gender Age Sol / 50+ Race All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 28% 32% 21% 48% 43% 54% 42% 46% 53% 65% 43% 50% 60% 57% 60% 62% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 15% 8% 17% 100%</td><td>All Gender Age Age Solution Solution<td>All Gender Age Age Solution Solution<td>All Gender All Solution Solution Solution Solution Registered Pair All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No Republic 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 28% 32% 21% 39% 28% 39% 44% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 50% 60% 57% 60% 62% 48% 50% 47% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 15% 8% 17% 13% 22% 14% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%<!--</td--><td>All Gerur X-X-Y</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>All Geres Sevent Sevent</td><td>All Geres X-X Solution Solution</td></td></td></td></td></td> | Gender Age Solution So | Gender Age Solution Solution Solution Solution Rate All Gender 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 48% 43% 54% 42% 46% 53% 65% 43% 58% 43% 50% 60% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 100% <td>Gender Age Solution Solution<!--</td--><td>Gender Age Solution So</td><td>All Gender Age Sol / 50+ Race All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 28% 32% 21% 48% 43% 54% 42% 46% 53% 65% 43% 50% 60% 57% 60% 62% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 15% 8% 17% 100%</td><td>All Gender Age Age Solution Solution<td>All Gender Age Age Solution Solution<td>All Gender All Solution Solution Solution Solution Registered Pair All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No Republic 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 28% 32% 21% 39% 28% 39% 44% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 50% 60% 57% 60% 62% 48% 50% 47% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 15% 8% 17% 13% 22% 14% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%<!--</td--><td>All Gerur X-X-Y</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>All Geres Sevent Sevent</td><td>All Geres X-X Solution Solution</td></td></td></td></td> | Gender Age Solution Solution </td <td>Gender Age Solution So</td> <td>All Gender Age Sol / 50+ Race All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 28% 32% 21% 48% 43% 54% 42% 46% 53% 65% 43% 50% 60% 57% 60% 62% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 15% 8% 17% 100%</td> <td>All Gender Age Age Solution Solution<td>All Gender Age Age Solution Solution<td>All Gender All Solution Solution Solution Solution Registered Pair All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No Republic 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 28% 32% 21% 39% 28% 39% 44% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 50% 60% 57% 60% 62% 48% 50% 47% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 15% 8% 17% 13% 22% 14% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%<!--</td--><td>All Gerur X-X-Y</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>All Geres Sevent Sevent</td><td>All Geres X-X Solution Solution</td></td></td></td> | Gender Age Solution So | All Gender Age Sol / 50+ Race All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 28% 32% 21% 48% 43% 54% 42% 46% 53% 65% 43% 50% 60% 57% 60% 62% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 15% 8% 17% 100% | All Gender Age Age Solution Solution <td>All Gender Age Age Solution Solution<td>All Gender All Solution Solution Solution Solution Registered Pair All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No Republic 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 28% 32% 21% 39% 28% 39% 44% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 50% 60% 57% 60% 62% 48% 50% 47% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 15% 8% 17% 13% 22% 14% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%<!--</td--><td>All Gerur X-X-Y</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>All Geres Sevent Sevent</td><td>All Geres X-X Solution Solution</td></td></td> | All Gender Age Age Solution Solution <td>All Gender All Solution Solution Solution Solution Registered Pair All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No Republic 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 28% 32% 21% 39% 28% 39% 44% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 50% 60% 57% 60% 62% 48% 50% 47% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 15% 8% 17% 13% 22% 14% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%<!--</td--><td>All Gerur X-X-Y</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>All Geres Sevent Sevent</td><td>All Geres X-X Solution Solution</td></td> | All Gender All Solution Solution Solution Solution Registered Pair All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No Republic 38% 47% 29% 40% 44% 33% 28% 42% 31% 43% 39% 34% 28% 32% 21% 39% 28% 39% 44% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 50% 60% 57% 60% 62% 48% 50% 47% 14% 10% 18% 10% 14% 7% 15% 11% 15% 10% 5% 15% 8% 17% 13% 22% 14% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% </td <td>All Gerur X-X-Y</td> <td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td> <td>All Geres Sevent Sevent</td> <td>All Geres X-X Solution Solution</td> | All Gerur X-X-Y | $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | All Geres Sevent Sevent | All Geres X-X Solution Solution | All Gerler $\overline{S-V}$ $S-$ | All Geres X-X-X-X-X Registered Party Affiliation Party Affili | All Gere X-X-Y X-Y X-Y Report Normal Norma |

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

14 Should the City of Seattle's new proposal to protect trees allow real estate developers to build on 85% of the property, even if that may result in removal and eventual replacement of mature trees?

1000 Adults	All		Empl	oyment S	Status		Work O	utside H			Com	mute				Neight	orhood		Но	me	Type Of	Intervie
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
Yes	38%	41%	41%	30%	28%	37%	41%	39%	48%	12%	33%	23%	54%	16%	36%	35%	33%	53%	44%	33%	37%	39%
No	48%	45%	47%	49%	62%	45%	47%	41%	42%	83%	50%	50%	21%	79%	48%	53%	57%	33%	44%	52%	54%	43%
Not Sure	14%	13%	12%	20%	9%	18%	12%	19%	10%	5%	18%	26%	25%	5%	17%	11%	10%	14%	12%	15%	9%	18%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%

ss?

1000 Adults		Ge	nder		A	ge		<50 /	50+			Ra	ace			Regis	stered	Pa	rty Affiliat	tion	Par	ent			Income		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.6 pct points	All	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
Should Be Required	68%	66%	70%	69%	74%	58%	68%	71%	62%	70%	83%	67%	59%	64%	55%	69%	59%	57%	76%	58%	77%	65%	70%	66%	67%	69%	68%
Should Not Be Required	22%	28%	16%	22%	19%	29%	22%	21%	26%	21%	16%	25%	29%	19%	31%	21%	28%	35%	16%	32%	20%	23%	16%	27%	22%	18%	26%
Not Sure	10%	5%	14%	9%	7%	14%	11%	8%	12%	9%	2%	8%	12%	18%	14%	9%	14%	8%	8%	11%	4%	12%	13%	7%	11%	13%	6%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%

5 Should the organizations that receive City tax dollars to provide low-income housing be required to fill some of their available vacant units with people experiencing homelessness?																						
00 Adults All Employment Status Work Outside H Commute Commute Neighborhood Home Type Of Intervie																						
Pedibility Interval: +/-3.6 pct points AII Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student Yes No Car Carpool Bus Light R Bicycle Walk North S Central South S West S Own Rent Live Ca Internet																						
Should Be Required	68%	67%	71%	70%	64%	70%	70%	58%	68%	79%	76%	49%	72%	79%	64%	74%	68%	67%	72%	65%	62%	74%
Should Not Be Required	22%	24%	20%	14%	26%	19%	21%	30%	25%	13%	16%	31%	11%	7%	25%	18%	21%	24%	21%	24%	28%	16%
Not Sure	10%	9%	9%	15%	10%	11%	8%	12%	7%	9%	8%	20%	17%	14%	11%	7%	10%	10%	7%	12%	10%	10%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%

port or op	opose Ci	ty Attorne	y Ann Da	avison's p	proposal	to make	sure pub	lic drug ı	use is aga	ainst the	law in Sea	attle?														
Adults All Gender Age Age																										
All	Male	Female	18-34	35-49	50-64	65+	18-49	50+	White	Black	Hispani	Asian	Multirac	Other	Yes	No	Republi	Democr	Indepe	Yes	No	< \$30K	\$30K -	\$60K -	\$80K -	> \$120
59%	61%	56%	52%	60%	64%	70%	55%	66%	59%	61%	53%	64%	50%	60%	58%	64%	78%	54%	63%	72%	53%	51%	63%	51%	65%	60%
28%	27%	29%	34%	29%	22%	17%	32%	20%	30%	31%	26%	24%	25%	16%	29%	22%	17%	34%	22%	21%	31%	28%	28%	30%	24%	30%
13%	11%	15%	14%	11%	14%	13%	13%	14%	11%	8%	21%	12%	25%	24%	13%	14%	6%	12%	15%	7%	16%	21%	9%	20%	11%	10%
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
100%	51%	49%	41%	26%	19%	14%	67%	33%	62%	7%	7%	13%	6%	4%	89%	9%	7%	57%	27%	29%	68%	15%	18%	17%	22%	27%
	All 59% 28% 13% 100%	All Ge 59% 61% 28% 27% 13% 11% 100% 100%	All Gender 59% 61% 56% 28% 27% 29% 13% 11% 15% 100% 100% 100%	Gender Male Female 18-34 59% 61% 56% 52% 28% 27% 29% 34% 13% 11% 15% 14% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Gender Ag Male Female 18-34 35-49 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 28% 27% 29% 34% 29% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Gender Age Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 28% 27% 29% 34% 29% 22% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 14% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Gender Age Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 70% 28% 27% 29% 34% 29% 22% 17% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 14% 13% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Gender Age <50 Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 70% 55% 28% 27% 29% 34% 29% 22% 17% 32% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 14% 13% 13% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Gender Age <50 / 50+ Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 70% 55% 66% 28% 27% 29% 34% 29% 22% 17% 32% 20% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 14% 13% 13% 14% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Gender Age Solution Solutio	All Gender Age	All Gender Age <50 / 50+ Ra 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 70% 55% 66% 59% 61% 53% 28% 27% 29% 34% 29% 22% 17% 32% 20% 30% 31% 26% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 14% 13% 13% 14% 11% 8% 21% 100% 1	All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 70% 55% 66% 59% 61% 53% 64% 28% 27% 29% 34% 29% 22% 17% 32% 20% 30% 31% 26% 24% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 14% 13% 13% 14% 11% 8% 21% 12% 100% <t< td=""><td>All Gender Age Solution Solution Solution Solution Race Rain Multirac 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 70% 55% 66% 59% 61% 53% 64% 50% 28% 27% 29% 34% 29% 22% 17% 32% 20% 30% 31% 26% 24% 25% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 14% 13% 13% 14% 11% 8% 21% 12% 25% 100%</td><td>All Gender Age</td><td>All Gender Age Solution Solution Register in the interval of the i</td><td>All Gender Age Solution Solution Registered All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 70% 55% 66% 59% 61% 53% 64% 50% 64% 64% 64% 20% 30% 31% 26% 24% 25% 16% 29% 22% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 14% 13% 13% 14% 11% 8% 21% 12% 25% 24% 13% 14% 100%</td><td>All Gender Age Age 50 / 50+ Registered Pa Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No Republic 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 70% 55% 66% 59% 61% 53% 64% 50% 60% 78% 28% 27% 29% 34% 29% 22% 17% 32% 20% 30% 31% 26% 24% 25% 16% 29% 22% 17% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 13% 14% 11% 8% 21% 12% 25% 24% 13% 14% 6% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% <td< td=""><td>All Gender Age Age Solution Solution Registered Party Affiliant All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No Republi Democr 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 70% 55% 66% 59% 61% 53% 64% 50% 60% 58% 64% 78% 54% 28% 27% 29% 34% 29% 22% 17% 32% 20% 30% 31% 26% 24% 25% 16% 29% 22% 17% 34% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 13% 13% 14% 11% 8% 21% 12% 25% 24% 13% 14% 6% 12% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%</td><td>All Gender All Solution Solution Solution Registered Party Affiliation All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No Republi Democr Indepe 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 70% 55% 66% 59% 61% 53% 64% 50% 60% 58% 64% 78% 54% 63% 28% 27% 29% 34% 29% 22% 17% 32% 20% 30% 31% 26% 24% 25% 16% 29% 22% 17% 34% 22% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 13% 14% 11% 8% 21% 12% 25% 24% 13% 14% 6% 12% 15% 100% 100% 100</td><td>All $\overline{Gerrer<}$ $\overline{Agerrer}$ $Ager$</td><td>All Gender Agender Agender</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>All Gender All Solve Solve Registered Registered Party Affiliation Party Pa</td><td>All Gender All Solution Solution Solution Registered Party Affiliation Party Affiliation</td><td>All Gender Signature Signatetteteeteeteeteeteeteteteteeteeteeteet</td></td<></td></t<>	All Gender Age Solution Solution Solution Solution Race Rain Multirac 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 70% 55% 66% 59% 61% 53% 64% 50% 28% 27% 29% 34% 29% 22% 17% 32% 20% 30% 31% 26% 24% 25% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 14% 13% 13% 14% 11% 8% 21% 12% 25% 100%	All Gender Age	All Gender Age Solution Solution Register in the interval of the i	All Gender Age Solution Solution Registered All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 70% 55% 66% 59% 61% 53% 64% 50% 64% 64% 64% 20% 30% 31% 26% 24% 25% 16% 29% 22% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 14% 13% 13% 14% 11% 8% 21% 12% 25% 24% 13% 14% 100%	All Gender Age Age 50 / 50+ Registered Pa Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No Republic 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 70% 55% 66% 59% 61% 53% 64% 50% 60% 78% 28% 27% 29% 34% 29% 22% 17% 32% 20% 30% 31% 26% 24% 25% 16% 29% 22% 17% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 13% 14% 11% 8% 21% 12% 25% 24% 13% 14% 6% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% <td< td=""><td>All Gender Age Age Solution Solution Registered Party Affiliant All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No Republi Democr 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 70% 55% 66% 59% 61% 53% 64% 50% 60% 58% 64% 78% 54% 28% 27% 29% 34% 29% 22% 17% 32% 20% 30% 31% 26% 24% 25% 16% 29% 22% 17% 34% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 13% 13% 14% 11% 8% 21% 12% 25% 24% 13% 14% 6% 12% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%</td><td>All Gender All Solution Solution Solution Registered Party Affiliation All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No Republi Democr Indepe 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 70% 55% 66% 59% 61% 53% 64% 50% 60% 58% 64% 78% 54% 63% 28% 27% 29% 34% 29% 22% 17% 32% 20% 30% 31% 26% 24% 25% 16% 29% 22% 17% 34% 22% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 13% 14% 11% 8% 21% 12% 25% 24% 13% 14% 6% 12% 15% 100% 100% 100</td><td>All $\overline{Gerrer<}$ $\overline{Agerrer}$ $Ager$</td><td>All Gender Agender Agender</td><td>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>All Gender All Solve Solve Registered Registered Party Affiliation Party Pa</td><td>All Gender All Solution Solution Solution Registered Party Affiliation Party Affiliation</td><td>All Gender Signature Signatetteteeteeteeteeteeteteteteeteeteeteet</td></td<>	All Gender Age Age Solution Solution Registered Party Affiliant All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No Republi Democr 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 70% 55% 66% 59% 61% 53% 64% 50% 60% 58% 64% 78% 54% 28% 27% 29% 34% 29% 22% 17% 32% 20% 30% 31% 26% 24% 25% 16% 29% 22% 17% 34% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 13% 13% 14% 11% 8% 21% 12% 25% 24% 13% 14% 6% 12% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Gender All Solution Solution Solution Registered Party Affiliation All Male Female 18-34 35-49 50-64 65+ 18-49 50+ White Black Hispani Asian Multirac Other Yes No Republi Democr Indepe 59% 61% 56% 52% 60% 64% 70% 55% 66% 59% 61% 53% 64% 50% 60% 58% 64% 78% 54% 63% 28% 27% 29% 34% 29% 22% 17% 32% 20% 30% 31% 26% 24% 25% 16% 29% 22% 17% 34% 22% 13% 11% 15% 14% 11% 13% 14% 11% 8% 21% 12% 25% 24% 13% 14% 6% 12% 15% 100% 100% 100	All $\overline{Gerrer<}$ $\overline{Agerrer}$ $Ager$	All Gender Agender Agender	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	All Gender All Solve Solve Registered Registered Party Affiliation Party Pa	All Gender All Solution Solution Solution Registered Party Affiliation Party Affiliation	All Gender Signature Signatetteteeteeteeteeteeteteteteeteeteeteet

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

or Bruce Harrell support or oppose City Attorney Ann Davison's proposal to make sure public drug use is against the law in Seattle?	
---	--

1000 Adults	All		Employment Status W Full-tim Part-tim Not Em Retired Student								Com	mute				Neighb	orhood		Ho	me	Type Of	f Intervie
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Full-tim	Part-tim	Not Em	Retired	Student	Yes	No	Car	Carpool	Bus	Light R	Bicycle	Walk	North S	Central	South S	West S	Own	Rent	Live Ca	Internet
Support	59%	59%	54%	45%	72%	53%	60%	46%	64%	66%	51%	47%	35%	64%	55%	59%	55%	71%	69%	50%	55%	62%
Oppose	28%	30%	31%	32%	13%	35%	28%	42%	27%	18%	32%	44%	51%	20%	30%	29%	28%	20%	22%	34%	33%	23%
Not Sure	13%	11%	15%	23%	15%	12%	11%	13%	10%	16%	16%	9%	14%	15%	14%	12%	17%	9%	10%	16%	12%	14%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	58%	12%	9%	15%	6%	81%	17%	67%	3%	14%	3%	3%	9%	38%	27%	18%	17%	46%	54%	50%	50%

All Questions Crosstabbed By All Questions

1 In general, do you think things in	n Seattle	are head	led in th	ne right dire	ction? O	r are thin	gs off on	the wror	ng track?																			
1000 Adults	All	Sea	attle Dire	rection	Prioritizi	ng Freig	Bike L	anes	New Si	dewalks	Support	ing Buse	Fixing F	otholes	Fixing O	lder Brid	Ot	her	None	Above		Bridges				Fees		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Right Di	i Wrong	g Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Right Direction	47%	-	-	-	51%	46%	56%	43%	47%	47%	50%	41%	43%	55%	48%	45%	27%	48%	30%	47%	46%	50%	41%	53%	50%	36%	27%	31%
Wrong Track	37%	-	-	-	38%	37%	30%	40%	36%	37%	33%	43%	40%	31%	36%	38%	54%	36%	44%	37%	38%	34%	35%	33%	30%	52%	64%	35%
Not Sure	16%	-	-	-	10%	18%	14%	17%	17%	16%	17%	16%	17%	15%	16%	17%	19%	16%	26%	16%	16%	16%	24%	14%	19%	12%	9%	34%
Total	100%				100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%				17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

1	In general, do you think things in Seattle are headed in the right direction? Or are things off on the wrong track?
---	---

1000 Adults	All		Based	l On Opp	onents			Based	On Sup	porters		All	ow To Bi	uild	Fill V	Vith Hom	eless	Dav	ison Prop	osal
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Right Direction	47%	54%	54%	48%	34%	25%	51%	51%	54%	32%	22%	57%	42%	36%	53%	33%	34%	45%	51%	45%
Wrong Track	37%	33%	30%	37%	56%	33%	34%	33%	28%	56%	45%	33%	40%	39%	32%	54%	36%	42%	31%	26%
Not Sure	16%	13%	17%	16%	10%	41%	15%	17%	19%	12%	33%	10%	19%	25%	16%	13%	30%	13%	17%	29%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

Prioritizing freight to transport food, household products, and other items?

1000 Adults	A II	Sea	ttle Direc	ction	Prioritizi	ng Freig	Bike L	anes	New Si	dewalks	Support	ing Buse	Fixing F	Potholes	Fixing O	lder Brid	Oth	ner	None /	Above		Bridges				Fees		
Credibility Interval: +/-2.9 pct points	All	Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Yes	17%	19%	17%	11%	-	-	10%	20%	11%	20%	12%	26%	16%	19%	11%	23%	12%	17%	0%	17%	17%	19%	10%	15%	21%	11%	21%	11%
No	83%	81%	83%	89%	-	-	90%	80%	89%	80%	88%	74%	84%	81%	89%	77%	88%	83%	100%	83%	83%	81%	90%	85%	79%	89%	79%	89%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%			27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

SURVEY USA Sponsor: Alex Pedersen, Seattle City Council ()

2 Thinking now about transportation in Seattle. Of the following, which three transportation investments do you think are the most important for Seattle to make? <pr>2

Prioritizing freight to transport food, household products, and other items?

1000 Adults	All		Based	l On Opp	onents			Based	On Supp	oorters		All	ow To Bi	uild	Fill V	Vith Hom	eless	Davi	ison Prop	osal
Credibility Interval: +/-2.9 pct points	All	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Yes	17%	13%	17%	16%	29%	7%	13%	21%	15%	23%	8%	21%	15%	13%	16%	23%	12%	18%	13%	19%
No	83%	87%	83%	84%	71%	93%	87%	79%	85%	77%	92%	79%	85%	87%	84%	77%	88%	82%	87%	81%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

3 Building bike lanes for safer streets?

1000 Adults	All	Sea	attle Dire	ction	Prioritiz	ing Freig	Bike I	Lanes	New Si	dewalks	Support	ing Buse	Fixing F	Potholes	Fixing C	lder Brid	Ot	her	None	Above		Bridges				Fees		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.4 pct points	All	Right Di	i Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Yes	27%	33%	22%	24%	16%	30%	-	-	25%	28%	28%	26%	19%	44%	21%	35%	8%	29%	0%	28%	28%	28%	24%	32%	29%	22%	12%	19%
No	73%	67%	78%	76%	84%	70%	-	-	75%	72%	72%	74%	81%	56%	79%	65%	92%	71%	100%	72%	72%	72%	76%	68%	71%	78%	88%	81%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%			30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

3	Building bike lanes for safer stree	ets?																			
100) Adults	All		Based	l On Opp	onents			Based	On Sup	porters		All	ow To Bi	uild	Fill V	/ith Hom	eless	Davi	son Prop	osal
Crea	dibility Interval: +/-3.4 pct points	All	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Yes		27%	28%	37%	32%	16%	12%	29%	30%	35%	15%	16%	32%	25%	22%	31%	21%	16%	26%	35%	19%
No		73%	72%	63%	68%	84%	88%	71%	70%	65%	85%	84%	68%	75%	78%	69%	79%	84%	74%	65%	81%
Tota		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Con	position of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

4	Installing new sidewalks and cro	sswalks	?																										
1000	Adults	A 11	Sea	ttle Dire	ction	Prioritiz	ing Freig	Bike L	anes	New Si	dewalks	Support	ing Buse	Fixing I	Potholes	Fixing C	Older Brid	Ot	ther	None	Above		Bridges				Fees		
Crec	ibility Interval: +/-3.5 pct points	All	Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Yes		30%	30%	30%	32%	19%	33%	28%	31%	-	-	27%	36%	26%	39%	21%	40%	10%	32%	0%	31%	29%	38%	17%	30%	29%	37%	30%	33%
No		70%	70%	70%	68%	81%	67%	72%	69%	-	-	73%	64%	74%	61%	79%	60%	90%	68%	100%	69%	71%	62%	83%	70%	71%	63%	70%	67%
Tota		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Com	position of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%			64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

4 Installing new sidewalks and crosswalks?

1000	Adults			Based	l On Opp	onents			Based	On Sup	porters		Alle	ow To Bu	uild	Fill V	Vith Hom	eless	Dav	ison Prop	osal
Crec	ibility Interval: +/-3.5 pct points	All	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Yes		30%	30%	28%	30%	34%	35%	31%	31%	32%	32%	17%	31%	29%	33%	32%	25%	32%	32%	32%	18%
No		70%	70%	72%	70%	66%	65%	69%	69%	68%	68%	83%	69%	71%	67%	68%	75%	68%	68%	68%	82%
Tota		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Com	position of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

5	Supporting buses and other publ	lic transif	?																										
100	0 Adults	All	Sea	ttle Direc	tion	Prioritizi	ing Freig	Bike I	Lanes	New Si	dewalks	Support	ng Buse	Fixing F	Potholes	Fixing O	lder Brid	Oth	ner	None	Above		Bridges				Fees		
Cre	dibility Interval: +/-3.7 pct points	All	Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Yes		64%	68%	58%	65%	45%	68%	66%	63%	57%	67%	-	-	58%	75%	60%	67%	36%	65%	0%	64%	64%	64%	62%	72%	62%	53%	35%	75%
No		36%	32%	42%	35%	55%	32%	34%	37%	43%	33%	-	-	42%	25%	40%	33%	64%	35%	100%	36%	36%	36%	38%	28%	38%	47%	65%	25%
Tot	al	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Cor	nposition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%			66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

5 Supporting buses and other public transit?

1000 Adults	All		Based	l On Opp	onents			Based	l On Sup	porters		All	ow To Bu	uild	Fill V	Vith Hom	eless	Davi	ison Prop	osal
Credibility Interval: +/-3.7 pct points		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Yes	64%	71%	61%	68%	55%	62%	72%	66%	58%	48%	64%	57%	69%	67%	69%	48%	66%	56%	80%	66%
No	36%	29%	39%	32%	45%	38%	28%	34%	42%	52%	36%	43%	31%	33%	31%	52%	34%	44%	20%	34%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

6 Fixing potholes and repaving roa	ads for a	Il vehicles	\$?																									
1000 Adults		Sea	attle Direc	ction	Prioritizi	ng Freig	Bike I	anes	New Si	dewalks	Support	ng Buse	Fixing F	otholes	Fixing O	lder Brid	Ot	her	None	Above		Bridges				Fees		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.6 pct points	All	Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Yes	66%	60%	72%	70%	62%	67%	46%	74%	57%	70%	60%	77%	-	-	69%	63%	40%	68%	0%	67%	67%	67%	49%	61%	68%	71%	75%	69%
No	34%	40%	28%	30%	38%	33%	54%	26%	43%	30%	40%	23%	-	-	31%	37%	60%	32%	100%	33%	33%	33%	51%	39%	32%	29%	25%	31%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%			52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%
1																												

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

6 Fixing potholes and repaving roads for all vehicles?

1000 Adults	All		Based	l On Opp	onents			Based	On Sup	oorters		Alle	ow To Bi	uild	Fill V	Vith Hom	eless	Dav	ison Prop	osal
Credibility Interval: +/-3.6 pct points	All	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Yes	66%	61%	65%	68%	71%	73%	65%	64%	67%	73%	67%	64%	67%	68%	61%	76%	77%	70%	59%	62%
No	34%	39%	35%	32%	29%	27%	35%	36%	33%	27%	33%	36%	33%	32%	39%	24%	23%	30%	41%	38%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

7	Fixing Seattle's older bridges?																												
100	0 Adults	All	Sea	attle Dired	ction	Prioritizi	ing Freig	Bike	Lanes	New Si	dewalks	Support	ing Buse	Fixing F	Potholes	Fixing C	Ider Brid	d O	ther	None	Above		Bridges				Fees		
Cre	dibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Yes	;	52%	54%	51%	49%	33%	56%	40%	57%	36%	59%	49%	57%	54%	47%	-	-	37%	53%	0%	52%	56%	39%	46%	53%	54%	42%	54%	42%
No		48%	46%	49%	51%	67%	44%	60%	43%	64%	41%	51%	43%	46%	53%	-	-	63%	47%	100%	48%	44%	61%	54%	47%	46%	58%	46%	58%
Tot	al	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Cor	nposition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%			6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

7 Fixing Seattle's older bridges?

1000) Adults	All		Based	l On Opp	onents			Based	On Sup	oorters		All	ow To Bu	uild	Fill V	/ith Hom	eless	Davi	son Prop	osal
Crea	libility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Yes		52%	60%	51%	47%	46%	47%	57%	53%	41%	48%	45%	54%	52%	47%	52%	54%	49%	55%	46%	51%
No		48%	40%	49%	53%	54%	53%	43%	47%	59%	52%	55%	46%	48%	53%	48%	46%	51%	45%	54%	49%
Tota	I	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Con	position of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

	8 Something else?																												
Credibility Interval: +/-1.8 per low mode Right Di Wrom mode Note No Yes No	1000 Adults	A 11	Sea	ttle Direc	ction	Prioritiz	ing Freig	Bike	e Lanes	New Si	dewalks	Support	ing Buse	Fixing F	Potholes	Fixing O	lder Brid	С	ther	None	Above		Bridges				Fees		
No 94% 97% 92% 93% 96% 94% 98% 93% 98% 93% 97% 90% 97% 96% 93% 96% 93% 96% 93% 96% 97% 96% 96% 93% 9.10% 96% 93% 9.10%	Credibility Interval: +/-1.8 pct points	All	Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Total 100%	Yes	6%	3%	8%	7%	4%	6%	2%	7%	2%	7%	3%	10%	3%	10%	4%	7%	-	-	0%	6%	6%	6%	7%	6%	3%	11%	9%	6%
	No	94%	97%	92%	93%	96%	94%	98%	93%	98%	93%	97%	90%	97%	90%	96%	93%	-	-	100%	94%	94%	94%	93%	94%	97%	89%	91%	94%
Composition of Adults 100% 47% 37% 16% 17% 83% 27% 73% 30% 70% 64% 36% 66% 34% 52% 48% 1% 99% 73% 21% 6% 42% 33% 8% 10% 7%	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%			1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

8 Something else?

1000 Adults	All		Based	On Opp	onents			Based	On Supp	porters		Alle	ow To Bu	uild	Fill V	vith Hom	eless	Davi	son Prop	osal
Credibility Interval: +/-1.8 pct points	All	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Yes	6%	5%	6%	2%	7%	8%	5%	5%	5%	10%	5%	4%	7%	4%	5%	10%	4%	6%	4%	8%
No	94%	95%	94%	98%	93%	92%	95%	95%	95%	90%	95%	96%	93%	96%	95%	90%	96%	94%	96%	92%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

| None of the above? | | | | | | | | | | |

 | | |

 | | | |
 | | | | | |
 | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|---
--
---|--

--
--|--|---|--
--
--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|---
---|
| Adults | | Sea | attle Dire | ction | Prioritizi | ng Freig | Bike | Lanes | New Si | dewalks | Support

 | ing Buse | Fixing F | Potholes

 | Fixing O | lder Brid | 0 | ther
 | None | Above | | Bridges | |
 | | Fees | | |
| ibility Interval: +/7 pct points | Aii | Right Di | i Wrong | Not Sur | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes

 | No | Yes | No

 | Yes | No | Yes | No
 | Yes | No | Do Mor | Wait Fo | Not Sur | Strongl
 | Somew | Somew | Strongl | Not Sur |
| | 1% | 0% | 1% | 1% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 1% | 0% | 1% | 0%

 | 2% | 0% | 2%

 | 0% | 2% | 0% | 1%
 | - | - | 1% | 0% | 4% | 0%
 | 1% | 0% | 2% | 3% |
| | 99% | 100% | 99% | 99% | 100% | 99% | 100% | 99% | 100% | 99% | 100%

 | 98% | 100% | 98%

 | 100% | 98% | 100% | 99%
 | - | - | 99% | 100% | 96% | 100%
 | 99% | 100% | 98% | 97% |
| | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100%

 | 100% | 100% | 100%

 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100%
 | | | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100%
 | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| position of Adults | 100% | 47% | 37% | 16% | 17% | 83% | 27% | 73% | 30% | 70% | 64%

 | 36% | 66% | 34%

 | 52% | 48% | 6% | 94%
 | | | 73% | 21% | 6% | 42%
 | 33% | 8% | 10% | 7% |
| ik | Adults
bility Interval: +/7 pct points | Adults All bility Interval: +/7 pct points 1% 99% 100% | Adults All Ser bility Interval: +/7 pct points 1% 0% 1% 9% 100% 100% 100% 100% | Adults Seattle Direct bility Interval: +/7 pct points 1% Wrong 1% 0% 1% 99% 100% 99% 100% 100% 100% | Adults All Seattle Direction bility Interval: +/7 pct points Right Di Wrong Not Sur 1% 0% 1% 1% 99% 100% 99% 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% | Adults All Seattle Direction Prioritizin bility Interval: +/7 pct points 1% 0% Not Sur Yes 1% 0% 1% 1% 0% 10% 0% 99% 100% 99% 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% | Adults All Seattle Direction Prioritizing Freig bility Interval: +/7 pct points Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No 1% 0% 1% 1% 0% 1% 99% 99% 99% 99% 99% 100% 99% 100%< | Adults AII Seattle Direction Prioritizing Freig Bike L bility Interval: +/7 pct points AII Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes 1% 0% 1% 1% 0% 1% 0% 1% 0% 99% 100% 99% 99% 100% 99% 100% | Adults All Seattle Direction Prioritizing Freig Bike Lanes bility Interval: +/7 pct points Ni Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes No 1% 0% 1% 1% 0% 1% 0% 1% 0% 1% 99% 99% 100% 99% 100% 99% 100% | Adults All Seattle Direction Prioritizer Bike Lanes New Side bility Interval: +/7 pct points All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes No Yes Yes | Adults All Seattle Direction Prioritizing Freig Bike Land New Silvant bility Interval: +/7 pct points All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes </td <td>Adults All Seattle Direction Prioritizing Freig Bike Lanes New Side Marks Supporting bility Interval: +/7 pct points All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes Yes Yes</td> <td>Adults All Seattle Direction Prioritizer Bike Lanes New Sider Mark Support Buse bility Interval: +/7 pct points All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Ye</td> <td>Adults All Seattle Direction Prioritizing Freig Bike Lanes New Size Supporting Fixing Freig Bike Lanes New Size Supporting Fixing Freig Fixing Freig Bike Lanes New Size Supporting Fixing Freig Fixing Freig Bike Lanes New Size Supporting Fixing Freig Fixing Freig Fixing Freig No Yes No Yes<td>Adults AII $Searcestreestreestreestreestreestreestreest$</td><td>Adults All Seattle Direction Prioritizeries Bike $\pm n$ New Side $\pm n$ Support $\pm n$ Fixing $\pm n$ Fixing $\pm n$ Fixing $\pm n$ Fixing $\pm n$ bility Interval: +/7 pct points All Right $\pm n$ Not Sur Yes No Yes No</td><td>Adults All Search Prioritizion Freig Bik No New Support Bus Fixing Fixing Fixing Componentiation bility Interval: +/7 pct points 1^{00} 0^{00} 1^{00} No Yes No Ye</td><td>Adults All Seattle Directory Prioritize Field No New New Side Support Fixing Fixing Image: Fixing No Yes <t< td=""><td>Adults All Set \exists roritizer roritizero roritizer roritizer roriter roritizer rorite</td><td>Adults All Seattleteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteetee</td><td>Adults All Seattletter Prioritizer Bik No Yes New Side Support Fixing Fixing Fixing Corr No No No No No No Yes No</td><td>Adults All Seattletterterterterterterterterterterterterte</td><td>Adults Adults Adults</td><td>Adults All Seture Prioritize Bit Ref No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes <</td><td>Adults Au Setter Prioritizer Bike No Yes Nevel Setter Fixing Setter Setter No Yes Yes</td><td>Adults Adults Adults</td><td>Adults Adults Nor Nor</td><td>Adults Adults Adults</td></t<></td></td> | Adults All Seattle Direction Prioritizing Freig Bike Lanes New Side Marks Supporting bility Interval: +/7 pct points All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes Yes Yes | Adults All Seattle Direction Prioritizer Bike Lanes New Sider Mark Support Buse bility Interval: +/7 pct points All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Ye | Adults All Seattle Direction Prioritizing Freig Bike Lanes New Size Supporting Fixing Freig Bike Lanes New Size Supporting Fixing Freig Fixing Freig Bike Lanes New Size Supporting Fixing Freig Fixing Freig Bike Lanes New Size Supporting Fixing Freig Fixing Freig Fixing Freig No Yes No Yes <td>Adults AII $Searcestreestreestreestreestreestreestreest$</td> <td>Adults All Seattle Direction Prioritizeries Bike $\pm n$ New Side $\pm n$ Support $\pm n$ Fixing $\pm n$ Fixing $\pm n$ Fixing $\pm n$ Fixing $\pm n$ bility Interval: +/7 pct points All Right $\pm n$ Not Sur Yes No Yes No</td> <td>Adults All Search Prioritizion Freig Bik No New Support Bus Fixing Fixing Fixing Componentiation bility Interval: +/7 pct points 1^{00} 0^{00} 1^{00} No Yes No Ye</td> <td>Adults All Seattle Directory Prioritize Field No New New Side Support Fixing Fixing Image: Fixing No Yes <t< td=""><td>Adults All Set \exists roritizer roritizero roritizer roritizer roriter roritizer rorite</td><td>Adults All Seattleteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteetee</td><td>Adults All Seattletter Prioritizer Bik No Yes New Side Support Fixing Fixing Fixing Corr No No No No No No Yes No</td><td>Adults All Seattletterterterterterterterterterterterterte</td><td>Adults Adults Adults</td><td>Adults All Seture Prioritize Bit Ref No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes <</td><td>Adults Au Setter Prioritizer Bike No Yes Nevel Setter Fixing Setter Setter No Yes Yes</td><td>Adults Adults Adults</td><td>Adults Adults Nor Nor</td><td>Adults Adults Adults</td></t<></td> | Adults AII $Searcestreestreestreestreestreestreestreest$ | Adults All Seattle Direction Prioritizeries Bike $\pm n$ New Side $\pm n$ Support $\pm n$ Fixing $\pm n$ Fixing $\pm n$ Fixing $\pm n$ Fixing $\pm n$ bility Interval: +/7 pct points All Right $\pm n$ Not Sur Yes No Yes No | Adults All Search Prioritizion Freig Bik No New Support Bus Fixing Fixing Fixing Componentiation bility Interval: +/7 pct points 1^{00} 0^{00} 1^{00} No Yes No Ye | Adults All Seattle Directory Prioritize Field No New New Side Support Fixing Fixing Image: Fixing No Yes No Yes <t< td=""><td>Adults All Set \exists roritizer roritizero roritizer roritizer roriter roritizer rorite</td><td>Adults All Seattleteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteetee</td><td>Adults All Seattletter Prioritizer Bik No Yes New Side Support Fixing Fixing Fixing Corr No No No No No No Yes No</td><td>Adults All Seattletterterterterterterterterterterterterte</td><td>Adults Adults Adults</td><td>Adults All Seture Prioritize Bit Ref No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes <</td><td>Adults Au Setter Prioritizer Bike No Yes Nevel Setter Fixing Setter Setter No Yes Yes</td><td>Adults Adults Adults</td><td>Adults Adults Nor Nor</td><td>Adults Adults Adults</td></t<> | Adults All Set \exists roritizer roritizero roritizer roritizer roriter roritizer rorite | Adults All Seattleteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteeteetee | Adults All Seattletter Prioritizer Bik No Yes New Side Support Fixing Fixing Fixing Corr No No No No No No Yes No | Adults All Seattletterterterterterterterterterterterterte | Adults Adults | Adults All Seture Prioritize Bit Ref No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes < | Adults Au Setter Prioritizer Bike No Yes Nevel Setter Fixing Setter Setter No Yes Yes | Adults Adults | Adults Adults Nor Nor | Adults Adults |

9 None of the above?

1000 Adults	All		Based	l On Opp	onents			Based	On Sup	porters		All	ow To Bu	uild	Fill V	/ith Hom	eless	Davi	ison Prop	osal
Credibility Interval: +/7 pct points		Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Yes	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%	1%	5%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%
No	99%	100%	99%	100%	99%	97%	100%	99%	99%	99%	95%	99%	100%	98%	100%	99%	99%	100%	99%	98%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

10	After the West Seattle Bridge cracked and closed, the city ordered an audit of all Seattle bridges, which found several bridges in poor condition. Do you think the Mayor and the Seattle Department of Transportation should do more to fix Seattle's worst bridges this year or should they wait until they have additional data on all bridges?
----	--

1000 Adults		Sea			Prioritizi	ng Freig	Bike l	anes	New Sid	dewalks	Support	ing Buse	Fixing F	Potholes	Fixing O	lder Brid	Ot	her	None	Above		Bridges				Fees		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.4 pct points	All	Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Do More To Fix	73%	72%	75%	70%	73%	73%	74%	73%	70%	74%	73%	72%	74%	70%	79%	67%	70%	73%	52%	73%	-	-	-	75%	72%	59%	81%	67%
Wait For Additional Data	21%	22%	19%	20%	23%	20%	21%	21%	26%	18%	21%	21%	21%	20%	16%	26%	22%	21%	10%	21%	-	-	-	20%	23%	30%	13%	15%
Not Sure	6%	6%	6%	10%	4%	7%	6%	7%	4%	8%	6%	7%	5%	10%	6%	7%	8%	6%	38%	6%	-	-	-	5%	5%	10%	6%	18%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%				100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%				42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

10 After the West Seattle Bridge cracked and closed, the city ordered an audit of all Seattle bridges, which found several bridges in poor condition. Do you think the Mayor and the Seattle Department of Transportation should do more to fix Seattle's worst bridges this year or should they wait until they have additional data on all bridges?

1000 Adults	A II		Based	On Opp	onents			Based	On Sup	oorters		All	ow To Bi	uild	Fill V	Vith Hom	eless	Davi	ison Prop	osal
Credibility Interval: +/-3.4 pct points	All	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Do More To Fix	73%	72%	75%	71%	76%	63%	74%	74%	69%	78%	49%	73%	76%	61%	75%	71%	62%	77%	70%	62%
Wait For Additional Data	21%	21%	21%	26%	17%	15%	21%	19%	28%	17%	22%	23%	18%	21%	20%	25%	16%	20%	22%	23%
Not Sure	6%	6%	3%	3%	8%	21%	5%	6%	3%	5%	29%	3%	6%	18%	5%	4%	22%	4%	8%	16%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

Seattle may consider imposing fees on new real estate development projects to help pay for the city's growing needs for transportation infrastructure. (For example, a real estate developer may have to pay \$8,000 to the City government on a condominium project the developer hopes to sell for \$800,000.)

Would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments to help pay for transportation infrastructure?

1000 Adults	All	Sea	ttle Direc	ction	Prioritizi	ng Freig	Bike I	anes	New Si	dewalks	Supporti	ng Buse	Fixing F	Potholes	Fixing O	lder Brid	Ot	her	None	Above		Bridges				Fees		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points		Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Strongly Support	42%	48%	37%	36%	38%	43%	50%	39%	42%	42%	48%	32%	39%	48%	43%	41%	44%	42%	7%	42%	44%	41%	31%	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat Support	33%	35%	27%	39%	40%	31%	35%	32%	31%	33%	32%	34%	34%	31%	34%	31%	17%	34%	30%	33%	32%	36%	26%	-	-	-	-	-
Somewhat Oppose	8%	6%	11%	6%	5%	8%	6%	8%	10%	7%	6%	10%	8%	7%	6%	9%	15%	7%	0%	8%	6%	11%	13%	-	-	-	-	-
Strongly Oppose	10%	6%	18%	5%	13%	10%	4%	13%	10%	10%	6%	19%	12%	8%	11%	10%	16%	10%	31%	10%	11%	6%	10%	-	-	-	-	-
Not Sure	7%	5%	7%	15%	5%	8%	5%	8%	8%	7%	8%	5%	7%	6%	6%	9%	8%	7%	33%	7%	6%	5%	20%	-	-	-	-	-
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%					
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%					

Seattle may consider imposing fees on new real estate development projects to help pay for the city's growing needs for transportation infrastructure. (For example, a real estate developer may have to pay \$8,000 to the City government on a condominium project the developer hopes to sell for \$800,000.)

11 governme

>

Would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments to help pay for transportation infrastructure?

1000 Adults	A 11		Based	On Opp	onents			Based	On Sup	oorters		All	ow To Bi	uild	Fill V	Vith Hom	eless	Davi	son Prop	osal
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Strongly Support	42%	82%	32%	22%	23%	25%	80%	26%	22%	18%	17%	42%	45%	33%	48%	30%	32%	40%	49%	36%
Somewhat Support	33%	15%	60%	38%	17%	28%	16%	58%	42%	13%	18%	34%	32%	33%	34%	32%	23%	33%	34%	27%
Somewhat Oppose	8%	2%	4%	23%	10%	4%	2%	9%	22%	6%	11%	9%	7%	8%	6%	14%	8%	8%	7%	8%
Strongly Oppose	10%	1%	2%	5%	42%	12%	1%	2%	8%	51%	8%	13%	8%	11%	7%	20%	11%	13%	4%	10%
Not Sure	7%	0%	2%	12%	9%	31%	1%	5%	6%	12%	46%	3%	8%	16%	5%	4%	26%	5%	6%	19%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%
•																				

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

12 Some opponents of transportation	n impac	t fees say	/ they wo	ould incre	ase the c	ost of ho	using du	ring a ho	using sho	ortage. B	ased on	this, wou	ld you su	pport or (oppose tl	nese tran	sportatio	n impact	fees on I	new real	estate de	evelopme	ents?					
1000 Adults	A 11	Sea	ttle Direc	ction	Prioritizi	ng Freig	Bike l	anes	New Sid	dewalks	Support	ing Buse	Fixing F	otholes	Fixing O	lder Brid	Oth	her	None	Above		Bridges				Fees		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Strongly Support	28%	33%	25%	23%	22%	30%	29%	28%	28%	29%	32%	23%	26%	33%	33%	23%	27%	29%	0%	29%	28%	29%	29%	55%	13%	6%	4%	1%
Somewhat Support	27%	31%	22%	28%	27%	27%	36%	24%	25%	28%	26%	30%	27%	29%	27%	28%	31%	27%	27%	27%	28%	28%	13%	21%	50%	16%	4%	7%
Somewhat Oppose	18%	18%	17%	17%	17%	18%	21%	16%	17%	18%	19%	15%	18%	17%	16%	19%	7%	18%	10%	18%	17%	22%	8%	9%	20%	51%	9%	31%
Strongly Oppose	18%	13%	27%	11%	31%	16%	11%	21%	20%	17%	15%	23%	20%	15%	16%	20%	23%	18%	31%	18%	19%	14%	22%	10%	9%	23%	73%	23%
Not Sure	9%	5%	8%	22%	3%	10%	4%	11%	10%	8%	8%	9%	10%	7%	8%	10%	12%	8%	33%	9%	8%	6%	29%	5%	7%	4%	10%	39%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

12 Some opponents of transportation impact fees say they would increase the cost of housing during a housing shortage. Based on this, would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments?

1000 Adults	A II		Based	On Opp	onents			Based	On Supp	porters		Alle	ow To Bi	uild	Fill V	Vith Hom	eless	Davi	son Prop	osal
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Strongly Support	28%	-	-	-	-	-	62%	15%	8%	6%	3%	32%	28%	20%	30%	27%	24%	28%	31%	28%
Somewhat Support	27%	-	-	-	-	-	19%	47%	24%	10%	12%	31%	26%	22%	30%	23%	18%	28%	27%	21%
Somewhat Oppose	18%	-	-	-	-	-	10%	24%	42%	7%	7%	16%	18%	22%	18%	19%	10%	18%	18%	14%
Strongly Oppose	18%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	8%	20%	67%	17%	16%	19%	19%	16%	28%	14%	21%	13%	17%
Not Sure	9%	-	-	-	-	-	4%	5%	6%	10%	61%	6%	8%	19%	7%	3%	35%	5%	10%	21%
Total	100%						100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%						34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

13 Some supporters of transportation impact fees say that without the fees, policy makers will likely increase property taxes and sales taxes to generate the same amount of revenue. Based on this, would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments?

	Sea	ttle Direc	ction	Prioritizi	ng Freig	Bike l	anes	New Si	dewalks	Support	ing Buse	Fixing F	Potholes	Fixing O	lder Brid	Oth	ner	None	Above		Bridges				Fees		
All	Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
34%	37%	32%	31%	26%	36%	37%	34%	35%	34%	39%	27%	34%	36%	38%	30%	31%	35%	0%	35%	35%	35%	28%	65%	17%	9%	4%	6%
33%	36%	29%	33%	40%	31%	36%	32%	33%	33%	34%	31%	32%	35%	33%	32%	29%	33%	27%	33%	34%	31%	32%	20%	59%	37%	7%	23%
12%	14%	9%	14%	10%	12%	16%	11%	13%	12%	11%	14%	12%	12%	10%	15%	10%	12%	10%	12%	11%	16%	5%	6%	16%	34%	9%	10%
15%	11%	24%	11%	21%	14%	9%	18%	16%	15%	12%	22%	17%	13%	14%	17%	26%	15%	31%	15%	17%	12%	12%	6%	6%	12%	76%	27%
5%	2%	6%	10%	2%	6%	3%	6%	3%	6%	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	6%	4%	5%	33%	5%	3%	5%	23%	2%	3%	7%	4%	34%
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%
	34% 33% 12% 15% 5% 100%	All Right Di 34% 37% 33% 36% 12% 14% 15% 11% 5% 2% 100% 100%	All Right Di Wrong 34% 37% 32% 33% 36% 29% 12% 14% 9% 15% 11% 24% 5% 2% 6% 100% 100% 100%	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur 34% 37% 32% 31% 33% 36% 29% 33% 12% 14% 9% 14% 15% 11% 24% 11% 5% 2% 6% 10% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes 34% 37% 32% 31% 26% 33% 36% 29% 33% 40% 12% 14% 9% 14% 10% 15% 11% 24% 11% 21% 5% 2% 6% 10% 2% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No 34% 37% 32% 31% 26% 36% 33% 36% 29% 33% 40% 31% 12% 14% 9% 14% 10% 12% 15% 11% 24% 11% 21% 14% 5% 2% 6% 10% 2% 6% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes 34% 37% 32% 31% 26% 36% 37% 33% 36% 29% 33% 40% 31% 36% 12% 14% 9% 14% 10% 12% 16% 15% 11% 24% 11% 21% 14% 9% 5% 2% 6% 10% 2% 6% 3% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes No 34% 37% 32% 31% 26% 36% 37% 34% 33% 36% 29% 33% 40% 31% 36% 32% 12% 14% 9% 14% 10% 12% 16% 11% 15% 11% 24% 11% 21% 14% 9% 18% 5% 2% 6% 10% 2% 6% 3% 6% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes No Yes 34% 37% 32% 31% 26% 36% 37% 34% 35% 33% 36% 29% 33% 40% 31% 36% 32% 33% 12% 14% 9% 14% 10% 12% 16% 11% 13% 15% 11% 24% 11% 21% 14% 9% 18% 16% 5% 2% 6% 10% 2% 6% 3% 6% 3% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes No Yes No 34% 37% 32% 31% 26% 36% 37% 34% 35% 34% 33% 36% 29% 33% 40% 31% 36% 32% 33% 33% 12% 14% 9% 14% 10% 12% 16% 11% 13% 12% 15% 11% 24% 11% 21% 14% 9% 18% 16% 15% 5% 2% 6% 10% 2% 6% 3% 6% 3% 6% 100%	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes 34% 37% 32% 31% 26% 36% 37% 34% 35% 34% 39% 33% 36% 29% 33% 40% 31% 36% 32% 33% 34% 39% 12% 14% 9% 14% 10% 12% 16% 11% 13% 12% 11% 15% 11% 24% 11% 21% 14% 9% 18% 16% 15% 12% 5% 2% 6% 10% 2% 6% 3% 6% 5% 100%	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Y	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Y	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Y	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Y	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Y	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Y	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Y	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Y	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Y	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Y	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes Yes	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Y	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes Yes	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes Yes	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes Yes	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes No

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

13 Some supporters of transportation impact fees say that without the fees, policy makers will likely increase property taxes and sales taxes to generate the same amount of revenue. Based on this, would you support or oppose these transportation impact fees on new real estate developments?

1000 Adults	A II		Based	On Opp	onents			Based	On Supp	oorters		Alle	ow To Bu	uild	Fill V	ith Hom	eless	Dav	ison Prop	osal
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Strongly Support	34%	75%	24%	19%	9%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	33%	38%	27%	36%	32%	27%	33%	39%	34%
Somewhat Support	33%	18%	57%	44%	15%	20%	-	-	-	-	-	40%	28%	32%	35%	27%	29%	34%	35%	25%
Somewhat Oppose	12%	4%	11%	29%	13%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	12%	12%	11%	12%	13%	9%	12%	12%	10%
Strongly Oppose	15%	3%	6%	6%	57%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	12%	17%	20%	12%	26%	14%	19%	10%	12%
Not Sure	5%	1%	2%	2%	5%	36%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	6%	10%	4%	2%	21%	2%	4%	19%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%						100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%						38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

proposal	to protec	ct trees a	llow real	estate de	evelopers	to build	on 85%	of the pro	operty, ev	en if that	may res	ult in rem	noval and	eventua	l replace	ment of r	mature tre	ees?									
A II	Sea	uttle Direc	ction	Prioritizi	ng Freig	Bike	Lanes	New Si	dewalks	Supporti	ng Buse	Fixing F	otholes	Fixing O	lder Brid	Ot	her	None	Above		Bridges				Fees		
All	Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
38%	47%	34%	24%	48%	36%	44%	36%	39%	38%	34%	46%	37%	40%	39%	37%	29%	39%	48%	38%	38%	43%	20%	38%	40%	44%	47%	15%
48%	43%	52%	55%	42%	50%	45%	50%	46%	49%	52%	42%	49%	47%	48%	48%	61%	48%	21%	49%	50%	43%	42%	52%	47%	42%	40%	55%
14%	10%	14%	21%	10%	14%	11%	14%	15%	13%	14%	12%	14%	13%	12%	15%	10%	14%	31%	13%	11%	14%	37%	10%	14%	13%	14%	30%
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%
	All - 38% - 48% - 14% - 100% -	Sea Right Di 38% 47% 48% 43% 14% 10% 100% 100%	HI Seattle Direct Right Di Wrong 38% 47% 34% 48% 43% 52% 14% 10% 14% 100% 100% 100%	All Seattle Direction Right Di Wrong Not Sur 38% 47% 34% 24% 48% 43% 52% 55% 14% 10% 14% 21% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Seattle Direction Prioritizi Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes 38% 47% 34% 24% 48% 48% 43% 52% 55% 42% 14% 10% 14% 21% 10% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	All Seattle Direction Prioritizzer Freig Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No 38% 47% 34% 24% 48% 36% 48% 43% 52% 55% 42% 50% 14% 10% 14% 21% 10% 14% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Image: Algorithm of the system Seattle Direction Prioritizing Freig Bike Bike Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes 38% 47% 34% 24% 48% 36% 44% 48% 43% 52% 55% 42% 50% 45% 14% 10% 14% 21% 10% 14% 10% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Image: Algorithm of the system of t	All Seattle Director Prioritizer Bike New Sir Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes No Yes 38% 47% 34% 24% 48% 36% 44% 36% 39% 48% 43% 52% 55% 42% 50% 45% 50% 46% 14% 10% 14% 21% 10% 14% 11% 14% 15% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	Image: Arrow	All Seattle Direction Prioritizing Freig Bike Lanes New Side Marks Supporting All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes <	All Seattle Direction Prioritizer Bike New Seattle Support Bike New Support Bike Support Bike New Support Bike New Support Bike Bike Support<	All Seattle Direction Prioritizer Bike New New Support Bixe Fixing F All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes Yes	All Seattle Direction Prioritizing Freight Priority Bik-Lense New Size Name Supporting Base Fixing Priority All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Yes Yes	All Seatter Director Prioritizer Freig Bike Lanes New Sider Mark Supporting Mark Fixing Point Fixing Poi	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	All Right Di Wrong Not Sur Yes No Y	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	All Set = 1 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 +	All Searce in the integral of the inte	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	All Signet line Signe line Signet line Si

14	Should the City of Seattle's new proposal to protect trees allow real estate developers to build on 85% of the property, even if that may result in removal and eventual replacement of mature trees?

1000 Adults	All		Based	l On Opp	onents			Based	On Sup	porters		Alle	ow To Bi	uild	Fill V	Vith Hom	eless	Davi	son Prop	osal
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Yes	38%	42%	43%	34%	35%	25%	36%	46%	39%	29%	22%	-	-	-	38%	46%	17%	43%	36%	22%
No	48%	48%	46%	49%	51%	47%	53%	41%	48%	53%	53%	-	-	-	51%	41%	49%	47%	49%	54%
Not Sure	14%	9%	11%	17%	14%	29%	11%	13%	12%	18%	26%	-	-	-	11%	13%	34%	10%	16%	24%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%				100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%				68%	22%	10%	59%	28%	13%

15 Should the organizations that rec	ceive City	y tax doll	ars to pro	vide low-	income I	nousing b	e require	ed to fill s	ome of th	heir avai	lable vac	ant units	with peo	ole expei	iencing h	omelessi	ness?											
1000 Adults	A 11	Sea	attle Direc	ction	Prioritizi	ng Freig	Bike L	anes	New Sid	dewalks	Support	ing Buse	Fixing F	Potholes	Fixing O	lder Brid	Ot	her	None	Above		Bridges				Fees		
Credibility Interval: +/-3.6 pct points	All	Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Should Be Required	68%	77%	58%	65%	63%	69%	77%	65%	72%	66%	73%	59%	63%	77%	68%	68%	56%	69%	42%	68%	70%	66%	53%	77%	71%	50%	46%	53%
Should Not Be Required	22%	16%	33%	17%	30%	21%	17%	24%	18%	24%	17%	32%	26%	16%	23%	21%	37%	21%	41%	22%	22%	27%	13%	16%	22%	40%	44%	12%
Not Sure	10%	7%	9%	18%	7%	10%	6%	11%	10%	9%	10%	9%	11%	7%	9%	10%	7%	10%	17%	10%	8%	7%	34%	7%	7%	10%	11%	35%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

Geography: City of Seattle

Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023

Release Date: 05/15/2023

Percentages

SURVEY USA Sponsor: Alex Pedersen, Seattle City Council ()

15 Should the organizations that receive City tax dollars to provide low-income housing be required to fill some of their available vacant units with people experiencing homelessness?

1000 Adults	All		Based	l On Opp	onents			Based	On Sup	porters		All	ow To Bi	uild	Fill V	Vith Hom	eless	Davi	ison Prop	osal
Credibility Interval: +/-3.6 pct points	All	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Should Be Required	68%	71%	75%	70%	58%	53%	72%	73%	70%	54%	50%	69%	71%	55%	-	-	-	68%	73%	56%
Should Not Be Required	22%	21%	19%	25%	34%	8%	20%	19%	23%	38%	10%	27%	19%	21%	-	-	-	24%	21%	18%
Not Sure	10%	8%	6%	5%	7%	39%	8%	9%	7%	9%	40%	4%	10%	24%	-	-	-	7%	7%	26%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%				100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%				59%	28%	13%

16 Should Mayor Bruce Harrell sup	port or op	opose Cit	ty Attorne	ey Ann Da	avison's	proposal	to make	sure pub	lic drug ι	use is aga	ainst the	law in Se	attle?															
1000 Adults																												
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Right Di	Wrong	Not Sur	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Do Mor	Wait Fo	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur
Support	59%	56%	67%	48%	64%	58%	55%	60%	63%	57%	51%	72%	63%	51%	62%	55%	63%	59%	32%	59%	62%	56%	33%	56%	60%	62%	75%	42%
Oppose	28%	31%	24%	29%	21%	29%	36%	25%	30%	27%	35%	16%	25%	34%	25%	31%	18%	29%	26%	28%	27%	30%	35%	33%	29%	25%	12%	23%
Not Sure	13%	13%	9%	23%	15%	13%	9%	15%	8%	16%	14%	12%	13%	15%	13%	14%	19%	13%	42%	13%	11%	15%	32%	11%	11%	13%	13%	36%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Composition of Adults	100%	47%	37%	16%	17%	83%	27%	73%	30%	70%	64%	36%	66%	34%	52%	48%	6%	94%	1%	99%	73%	21%	6%	42%	33%	8%	10%	7%

16 Should Mayor Bruce Harrell sup	port or op	opose Cit	ty Attorne	ey Ann Da	avison's p	oroposal	to make	sure pub	lic drug u	se is aga	ainst the l	aw in Se	attle?							
1000 Adults																osal				
Credibility Interval: +/-3.8 pct points	All	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Strongl	Somew	Somew	Strongl	Not Sur	Yes	No	Not Sur	Should	Should	Not Sur	Support	Oppose	Not Sur
Support	59%	57%	61%	60%	68%	35%	56%	60%	60%	71%	27%	66%	57%	44%	59%	64%	45%	-	-	-
Oppose	28%	30%	28%	29%	20%	33%	31%	29%	29%	18%	24%	26%	28%	32%	30%	26%	20%	-	-	-
Not Sure	13%	13%	10%	10%	12%	31%	13%	10%	11%	11%	49%	7%	15%	24%	11%	11%	36%	-	-	-
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			
Composition of Adults	100%	28%	27%	18%	18%	9%	34%	33%	12%	15%	5%	38%	48%	14%	68%	22%	10%			

Geography: City of Seattle Data Collected: 05/05/2023 - 05/13/2023 Release Date: 05/15/2023 Percentages

Statement of Methodology: SurveyUSA is an independent, non-partisan, apolitical research company that conducts opinion surveys for media, academic institutions, commercial clients, non-profils, governments, agencies, and elected officials. SurveyUSA opinion research is conducted using the recorded voice of a professional announcer. The youngest male is requested on approximately 70% of calls. This method officials. SurveyUSA gives with the encode voice of a professional announcer. The youngest male is requested on approximately 70% of calls. This method officials. SurveyUSA displays the question within the unweighted sample. Re-attempts are made to busy signals, no-answers, and answering machines. Landline telephone sample is purchased from Aristotle of Washington DC. Respondents who do not use a home telephone are interview respondents verbally on the respondent's cell phone, and means, for other projects, that SurveyUSA displays the questions visually on the respondent's phone, tablet, or other device, which means, for other projects, that call-center employees hand-dial cell phones and interview respondents verbally on the respondent's cell phone, and means, for other projects, that SurveyUSA displays the questions visually on the respondent's phone, tablet, or other device, and crosstabs by this distinction. Responses are minimally weighted to U.S. Census or voer file targets for gender, age and race. Target (cell) weighting is used. On questionnaires that ask abut politication, SurveyUSA may or may not weight for a particular studies, certain populations are over-sampled, so that the number of unweighted respondents. Each individual SurveyUSA release contains the date(lee) on which interviews are conducted in English. Where respondent weight are election explicit, surveyUSA release contains the date(lee) on which interviews are conducted in papers, interviews are conducted in the presentage of respondents worked and release date. If interviewing for a particular study is conducted in Spanish or in any othe